
UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD029827 **NEW LIMITATION CHANGE** TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; Feb 1954. Other requests shall be referred to Director, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433. **AUTHORITY** AFAL ltr, 17 Aug 1979



FILE COPY

· . Coke 4/01

A STUDY OF THE RAIN EROSION OF PLASTICS AND METALS

ROY R. LAPP RAYMOND H. STUTZNAN NORMAN E. WAHL

CORNELL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, INC.

FEBRUARY 1954

20030708008

Statement A Approved for Public Release

WRIGHT AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER

This decembent has less approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact—that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

The information furnished herewith is made available for study upon the understanding that the Government's proprietary interests in and relating thereto shall not be impaired. It is desired that the Judge Advocate (WCJ), Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, be promptly notified of any apparent conflict between the Government's proprietary interests and those of others.

00000000000

A STUDY OF THE RAIN EROSION OF PLASTICS AND METALS

Roy R. Lapp Raymond H. Stutzman Norman E. Wahl

Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.

February 1954

Naterial's Laboratory
Contract No. AF 33(600)-6469
RDO No. 614-12

Wright Air Development Center Air Research and Development Command United States Air Force Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by the Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory under USAF Contract No. AF 33(600)-6469. The contract was initiated under Research and Development Order No. 614-12(B-F), "Structural Plastics", and was administered under the direction of the Materials Laboratory, Directorate of Research, Wright Air Development Center, with Mr. George P. Peterson acting as project engineer.

ABSTRACT

Based upon data obtained in studies of the relative rain erosion resistance of various types of materials, the general requirements needed for maximum erosion resistance can be quantitatively met by two properties which are the antithesis of each other. One; a relatively soft, tough, smooth elastomeric material and two; a hard, smooth, ductile material with high compressive strength. The first is typified by neoprene coatings such as Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56. The second, by titanium and tough alloy steels such as 4130.

To further define these requirements, studies of various materials were continued.

Proposed tests and materials to be evaluated at Mach numbers above two, are discussed.

PUBLICATION REVIEW

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

M. E. SORTE

Colonel, USAF

Chief, Materials Laboratory

银油 化自己机等

Directorate of Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Objective	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
Introduction .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2
Section I	Evaluation of Materials. A. Neoprene Coatings. B. Anti-Static Coatings C. Tests on Primers D. Tests on Deicer Boot Stock E. Tests on Silicone Rubber F. Tests on 3M Coatings G. Tests on Glass Reinforced Phenolic H. Tests on Coast Paint & Chemical Company's System I. Tests on Metal Coatings. J. Fillers for Glass Laminates.	3 22 30 55 55 56 61 63 66 69
Section II	Determination of variables Influencing the Erosion of Materials	71 71 74
Section III	Studies on Mechanism of Rain Erosion	92 92 94 95
Section IV	Test Apparatus	100 100
Bibliography .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101
Appendix	Section I Graphs Comparing RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS A through O	103 104 119

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

			PAGE	;
Figure	1	Rain Erosion Test Specimen	• 11	.9
Figure	2	View of Neoprene Coatings After One Year's Outdoor Exposure	. 12	20
Figure	3	Gaco N-79 Specimens After Test	. 12	12
Figure	4	Gaco Anti-static Specimens After Evaluation	. 12	2
Figure	5	Rain Erosion Tests on Pro-Seal 581 primer	. 12	23
Figure	6	View of 3M Coatings on Fiberglas After Test	. 12	24
Figure	7	View of 3M Coatings on 24ST Alclad After Test	. 12	25
Figure	8	View of 3M Coatings on FS1H Magnesium After Test	. 12	26
Figure	9	View of Douglas Coatings After Test	. 12	27
Figure	10	View of 4130 Steel Specimens With Various Finishes, After Test .	. 12	28
Figure	11	View of 4130 Steel Specimens With Various Finishes, After Test .	. 12	29
Figure	12	View of Piasecki and Goodyear Specimens, After Testing	. 13	3 0
Figure	13	Rain Erosion Tests on Goodyear Rl4L-27-86 Coating	. 13	31
Figure	14	Comparison of Steel and Polymethyl Methacrylate	. 13	32
Figure	15	View of 8630 Steel Specimens After Erosion Test	. 13	33
Figure	16	View of 8630 Steel Specimens After Erosion Test	. 13	3lı
Figure	17	Dimensional View of Aluminum Insert and Plastic Ogive	. 13	35
Figure	18	View Aluminum Insert and Mold	. 13	36
Figure	19	View of Plastic Ogive Molded on Aluminum Insert and Screwed into Upper Mold Body	. 13	36
Figure	20	View of High Speed End of Whirl Test Blade, Showing Erosion of Clips and Scale That Precipitated on Blade During High Speed Runs	. 1	37

LIST OF TABLES

			PA	GE
Ta ble	1	Outdoor Exposure Tests of Neoprene Coatings	•	5
Table	2	Rain Erosion of Neoprene Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall After One Year Exposure in Florida		9
Table	3	Rain Erosion of Neoprene Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall After Six Months Exposure in Florida	•	10
Table	4	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H l in./hr Rainfall. Outdoor Exposure Tests on Neoprene Coatings	or •	13
Table	5	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Gaco Neoprene Coatings, Gates Engineering Company	•	18
Table	6	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Gaco N-51 Conductive Top Coating		24
Table	7	Description of Primers	•	3 0
Table	8	Primer Evaluation - Rain Erosion Tests 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall	•	31
Table	9	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H l in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Primers for Neoprene Coatings	•	38
Table	10	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Exposure Tests on Primers	•	48
Table	11	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings	•	51
Table	12	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Primers	•	52
Table	13	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Connecticut Hard Rubber Company's Silicone Rubber	•	57
Table	14	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Tests on Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Neoprene Coatings		58

LIST OF TABLES (CONT D)

			PAGE
Table	15	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Tests on CTL-91-LD Phenolic Specimens	62
Table	16	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Tests on Douglas Aircraft Specimens	65
Table	17	Rain Erosion Tests of Rotor Blade Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall - Supplied by Piasecki Helicopter Corporation	
Table	18	Comparison of Fillers for Glass Laminates	69
Ta ble	19	Rain Erosion of Plastics 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall. Effect of Deicing Solution on Rain Erosion Resistance of Neoprene Coatings	t 72
Table	20	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Effect Heat on Gaco N-79	79
Table	21	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings	81
Table	22	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings	83
Table	23	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Tests on Goodyear R-14L-27-86 Lactoprene Coating	85
Table	24	Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H 1 in./hr Rainfall Goodyear Lactoprene EV Compounds	90
Table	25	8630 Steel Rain Erosion Specimens	92
Table	26	8630 Steel Specimens After Erosion	94

OBJECTIVE

Erosion, by rain, of the exterior surfaces of high speed aircraft during flight gives rise to a new problem in aircraft materials. This study was undertaken to obtain data on currently used aircraft materials and to investigate the phenomena of rain erosion in order that the increased fundamental knowledge might be used to assist in the development of materials more resistant to deterioration.

INTRODUCTION

One of the problems facing the designer of high speed aircraft is the problem of rain erosion of aircraft components. Previous studies and their results have been reported. (references 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 14).

The erosive effect of rain on high speed aircraft has not been limited to paint coatings or glass reinforced plastic parts. The reports noted above indicate that when subsonic aircraft fly through a rainstorm, the water drops erode the leading metal edges in such a manner that the surface appeared as though it had been sandblasted. It is to be noted, however, that the erosion of most metals is less severe than plastics.

Previous work carried out under the guidance of the Wright Air Development Center included the development of testing methods in which flight in rain was simulated and in which erosion characteristics of plastic were evaluated. Many different plastics and coatings were tested.

The problem of obtaining materials which will resist erosion for long periods of flight through rain is still under investigation. Evaluation tests of materials under simulated high speed flight through rain have not been satisfactory in that they reveal few materials likely to withstand rain erosion for any appreciable length of time. In order to aid in the development of a satisfactory material, a study of the mechanism of some of the problems and characteristics especially pertinent to rain drosion in the hope that increased knowledge may serve as a guide both in the search for, and design of, better materials.

SECTION I

EVALUATION OF MATERIALS

In this investigation, all the tests were carried out in one inch per hour rainfall concentration, having a medium droplet size of 1.9 mm. and at speeds of 500 mph or above, on the test apparatus which consists of a set of blades that rotate at speeds ranging from 500 to 750 mph in a horizontal plane through simulated rainfall. Test specimens of airfoil shape, shown in Figure 1, are attached to the blade. Specimens may be of plastic or metal and may be tested with or without coatings. The details of test apparatus are reviewed in a Wright Air Development Center report (reference 15).

The nominal velocities given herein refer to the center of the specimen. If the time to erode through the coatings, at 500 mph and l" per hour rainfall, outlined in this report appear to be lower on some specimens than previously reported, it should be remembered that the time of test obtained on this new erosion test apparatus must be multiplied by 1.6 to obtain a comparison with former data.

It is realized the test apparatus does not exactly reproduce the amount of erosion experienced during the same time interval as one obtains under actual service conditions. However, it has been shown that it does rate materials in the relative order of their service durability.

Glass reinforced plastic parts cannot be ruled out as a material of construction since it is necessary that radar equipment be placed in non-metallic housings which maintain the smooth, exterior contours of high speed aircraft. Methods of rendering these glass laminates more resistant to rain erosion, by coating with organic materials, were studied extensively.

IA. Neoprene Coatings

Previous data have shown that of all the materials tested, neoprene possessed greater erosion resistance at 500 mph, than any other plastic or elastomeric coating. The two neoprene coating materials that have shown the most promise, to date, are Gaco Aircraft Neoprene Coating N-79 and Goodyear Aircraft Neoprene Coating 23-56. Other similar coatings have been evaluated and the tests are outlined in this report.

Gaco and Goodyear neoprene coatings when catalyzed with the proper amount of catalyst will air dry, however, none of these coatings attain full strength and rain erosion resistance properties until they have cured at room temperature in excess of 100 hours. Most all of the neoprene coatings require primer coats for

adhesion to plastic or metal. In most cases, the primer used was Bostik 1007, manufactured by B. B. Chemical Company, Cambridge, Mass. These coatings and primer can be applied by brushing or spraying. Brushing gives heavier but less uniform coats.

The following procedures are recommended by the manufacturer of these coatings and they were followed in the preparation of all test specimens. Gates and Goodyear have available for distribution Wright Air Development Center approved instructions sheets as to detail procedure for preparing and applying coatings approved under specification MIL-C-7439.

Gaco N-79 System - Air Drying

- 1. Roughen metal or glossy resin surface with 80 grit sandpaper and wipe clear with toluol.
- 2. Apply one brush coat of Gaco or Bostik primer and air dry at least 30 minutes, or two spray coats of Gaco Primer N-15 or Bostik 1007.
- 3. Catalyze N-700-9 cement by adding Gaco Accelerator N-300-9 in ratio of one fluid ounce of accelerator to one pint of cement and mix thoroughly.
- 4. Apply five brush coats of accelerated Gaco N-700-9, using short, even strokes and brush from wet to dry areas to prevent trapping air in coating, or eight to twelve spray coats.
- 5. Allow at least one hour air dry between coats.
- 6. The curing cycle of specimens was 100 hours air drying before testing.

Goodyear 23-56 System - Air Drying - Brush or Spray

- 1. Roughen the metal or smooth resin surface of the glass laminate specimen with 80 grit paper.
- 2. Apply two spray coats of Bostik 1007; allow to air dry 30 minutes.
- 3. Add 8.5 cc. of accelerator 983C to each 100 grams of 1801C base cement and mix thoroughly for five minutes.
- 4. The 23-56 brush coating is applied without reducing. Normally, five brush coats are applied to achieve a 10 mil coating, air drying 45 to 60 minutes between coats. All coats are applied within an eight hour period.
- 5. After accelerating, the 23-56S spray coat is reduced with an equal volume of 23-56S thinner supplied by Goodyear. Normally, with this reduction, 8 to 12 spray coats are required.
- 6. All specimens are allowed to air dry at least 100 hours before testing.

Four glass reinforced laminate panels of Selectron 5003, 116-111 glass cloth, .030" x 18" x 18" were exposed at 45° facing south on the roof at Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory for one year. Panel #1 was not coated and was used as a control. The coatings consisted of the systems outlined below and were 10 mils in total thickness. Microscopic examination at 12% showed that all films were cracked or checked during the year's exposure.

TABLE NO. 1 Outdoor Exposure Tests Of Neoprene Coatings

Panel No.	Primer	Top Coat	Macroscopic Examination After 1 Year Exposure
1	None	None	Slight yellowing of Selectron 5003. No other visual changes.
2	Bostik 1007	Gaco N-79	Fine hairline cracks through coating. Film turned reddish brown.
3	Primer 3M-EC 579 Tiecoat - 3M-Exp. 127735	3M-Exp.127736	Considerable alligatoring and large checking through film.
4	Bostik 1007	Goodyear 23-56	Alligatoring and small checking through film. Film turned black.

Based upon a visual and macroscopic examination, the durability of the films, after one year's outdoor exposure, could be rated in the following order.

- 1. Gaco N-79
- 2. Goodyear 23-56
- 3. 3M Exp. 127736

The four panels were returned to Wright Air Development Center for determination of the electrical properties.

Twenty-three rain erosion test specimens were returned from Wright Air Development Center after outdoor exposure in Florida for six months and for one year. They were identified as follows:

- I. 1 year exposure (29 November 1950 29 November 1951)
 - (1) Specimen No. 561 A & B 7 spray coats of Goodyear 23-56 over 2 spray coats of Bostik 1007. Coated by Wright Air Development Center.
 - (2) Specimen No. 562 A & B Brush coats of N-200-5E over 2 spray coats of Bostik 1007. Coated by Wright Air Development Center.
- II. 6 months exposure (29 May 1951 29 November 1951)
 - (1) Specimen No. 344 & B and 345 A & B Spray coated with Goodyear 23-56-S by Goodyear.
 - (2) Specimen No. 342 A & B and 343 A & B Brush coated with Goodyear 23-56 by Cornell.

- (3) Specimen No. 338 A & B and 339 A & B Spray coated with 3M EC-579 primer, Exp. 127735 tie coat and Exp. 127736 top coat, by Cornell.
- (4) Specimen No. 336 B and 337 A & B Spray coated with Gaco N-700-9 (N-300-11 accelerator) by Wright Air Development Center.
- (5) Specimen No. 340 A & B and 341 A & B Brush coated with Gaco N-200-5E by Cornell.

These specimens were all tested for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1" per hour rainfall.

Specimens #561 A & B of Goodyear 23-56 turned greyish black and had alligatored badly after one year's exposure. The rain erosion resistance had deteriorated to practically nothing. Specimens #562 A & B of Gaco N-200-5E showed some yellowing and slight alligatoring after one year's exposure. The weathering had reduced the rain erosion resistance of the 5 mil coat to less than one minute. Of the specimens exposed for six months, only the brush coated Goodyear 23-56 maintained any appreciable rain erosion resistance. The results obtained are outlined in Table No. 2 and 3.

Specimens #479 A & B, brush coated with Bostik 1007 primer and Gaco N-700-9 neoprene with 12 oz/gal. Gaco N-300-11 accelerator, were exposed on the roof at C.A.L. at Buffalo, New York, for 6 months, October 1951 through April 1952 and then tested at 500 mph. Coating on Specimen B failed at the end of 30 minutes of testing while coating on specimen A bubbled and ruptured at the end of 40 minutes.

Specimens #533 A & B, brush coated with Bostik 1007 and Gaco N-700-9 (pigmented -blue) with 8 oz/gal Gaco N-300-9 accelerator, were outdoor exposed at Buffalo, New York, for 3 months, January 2nd to April 2nd, 1952, and then tested at 500 mph. Specimen A failed at the end of 40 minutes of testing and specimen B failed at the end of 70 minutes.

Specimens #534 A & B, brush coated with Bostik 1007 and Gaco N-79 were out-door exposed for 3 months, January 2nd through April 2nd, 1952, at C.A.L., before testing. The coating on specimen A bubbled and ruptured after 60 minutes of testing while specimen B bubbled after 70 minutes.

Outdoor durability tests for one year were carried out on Goodyear 23-56, brushed and sprayed, for comparison. Specimens #419A and 422B were prepared at Goodyear by their personnel. Specimen #419 was brushed and #422 was sprayed in the regular production set-up at Goodyear. The samples were sent to Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory and exposed for one year on the roof and then tested at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall. Specimen #419 had considerable better rain erosion resistance than specimen #422, which may be accounted for by the fact that the sprayed film was approximately 20% thinner, however, it has been generally found that the brushed coates of both Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56, for the same thickness of film, had greater rain erosion resistance than the sprayed coats.

Specimens #487 A & B were prepared with Goodyear 23-56 and Goodyear Antistatic coating R-14L-296 and exposed for one year. The antistatic coating was applied two days after the application of the Goodyear 23-56 neoprene coating. After one year's exposure, the antistatic R-14L-296 coating showed no loss of adhesion to the 23-56 but upon testing at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall, the layers showed a tendency to shred off in small areas after 60 to 70 minutes. On normal application of the antistatic coating, it would erode off rather than shred or peel. The specimens #487 A & B showed excellent rain erosion resistance, which can be attributed to two causes; one, the thickness of the coatings, which was a total of 13 mils and the possibility that the antistatic coating protects the 23-56 from weathering since the carbon black tends to improve the outdoor durability.

Specimens #536 A & B of Bostik 1007 primer with Gaco N-79 were prepared and exposed for one year and then tested at 500 mph and l^n/hr . rainfall. These specimens were run to check previous data on Gaco N-79.

Specimens #416 and 420 of Goodyear 23-56 using Bostik 1007, both brush and spray, prepared in the shop at Goodyear Aircraft were exposed outdoors at Buffalo, New York for three months. Specimens #535 of Gaco N-79, prepared by C.A.L. personnel and brushed on, were exposed for six months. Specimens #554 of Goodyear 23-56 and #551 of Gaco N-700-9 with 8 oz/gal N-300-9 catalyst, using new Bostik primer 4764-27, were exposed for three months. In general, specimen #416 A, which was brushed, had slightly better rain erosion resistance than specimen #420 B, which was sprayed. This concurs with previous results obtained. The results are shown in bar graphs on pages 104 and 105, in Table No. 4 and Figure No. 2.

Preparation of Specimens For Outdoor Exposure by FPL

As requested by the Materials Laboratory of Wright Air Development Center, one hundred and eighty rain erosion test specimens of glass fabric reinforced laminates were molded. These specimens were fabricated of 116-114 glass cloth impregnated with Selectron 5016. They were wet sanded with 320 grit sandpaper to roughen the resin surface, given one heavy brush coat of Bostik 1007, and then coated with the following neoprene top coats, so as to give a total thickness of primer and coating of approximately ten mils.

- 1. Sixty specimens #700 A & B through 729 A & B coated with Goodyear 23-56 system. (Approved under specification MIL-C-7439)
- Sixty specimens #730 A & B through 759 A & B coated with Gates N-79 system. (Approved under specification MIL-C-7439)
- 3. Sixty specimens #760 A & B through 789 A & B coated with 6-7 mils of Goodyear 23-56 and 2 mils of Goodyear Antistatic Coating R-141-23-296.

These samples were then submitted to the Forest Products Laboratory for out-door exposure at five different exposure stations. It is planned that at the end of exposure periods of three months, one year, and three years, four samples of each group, 1, 2, and 3, will be evaluated for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall.

Evaluation of Gaco Systems

As required under specification MTL-C-7439A class I, the Gaco system of N-15 primer and N-79 top coat was evaluated for rain erosion resistance. The tests on Gaco N-51 anti-static system are described in the next section. The glass reinforced laminates were sanded, wiped with toluol and given two brush coats of Gaco N-15 primer. The specimens were numbered and prepared for the tests, as listed below.

The following seven sets of tests specimens of glass reinforced laminates were coated with 2 mils Gaco N-15 primer and approximately 7-8 mils of Gaco N-79. These specimens were prepared by brushing.

Specimen No.	Tests
664 A & B 665 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph and l"/hr.
669 A & B 670 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph and l"/hr. after exposure to 200°F for 20 hours
666 A & B 667 A & B 668 A & B	Outdoor Exposure - 3 months - 6 months - 12 months

Erosion tests at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall were carried out on specimens #664 and 665 after air drying for 150 hours at room temperature. Specimens #669 and 670 were air dried 150 hours at room temperature and then exposed to 200°F for 20 hours as outlined in MIL-C-7439, then evaluated for rain erosion resistance under standard conditions as noted above. The specimens of the air dried coatings, after testing, are shown in Figure No. 3. The results of the tests are shown in the bar graph on page 106, and in Table No. 5 on pages 18 through 21. Specimens #666 and 667 and 668 were placed outdoors at 45° facing south. These specimens will be exposed for the periods noted above and then evaluated for erosion resistance under standard conditions. Electrical transmission tests under specification MIL-C-7439A (reference 15) will be conducted on panels coated with these systems.

If these materials have satisfactory electrical properties they should be approved.

WADC-TR-53-185

Rain Erosion of Neoprene Coatings 500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall Specimens Outdoor Exposed For 1 Year On Florida Test Fence

Remarks	At end of 3 min Spec. A Small hole through coating at high speed end and one in center of leading edge. Spec. B - Small holes through coating and 3-5 plies all along leading edge.	At end of 1 min Eroded through coating and 2-3 plies at high speed and at low speed ends of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	3 min.	l min. l min.
Thickness Time To Coating Coating	l min.	l min.
Thickness Coating (M11s)	N	ıΛ
Top Coat	Goodyear 23—56 (Spray) 7 coats	Gaco N-200-5E (Brush)
Primer	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007
Coated By	A.M.C. (#800A) (#800B)	A.M.C. (#801A) (#801B)
Specimen No.	561 A B	562 A B

Rain Erosion of Neoprene Coatings 500 M.P.H. - l"/Hr. Rainfall Specimens Outdoor Exposed For 6 Months On Florida Test Fence

Renarks	At end of 1 min Scattered erosion through 1-2 plies along entire leading edge.	At end of 3 min Eroded through coating and 5-6 plies along entire leading edge.	At end of 8 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 15 min Spec. B - 3/16" D hole through coating at high speed end. At end of 20 min Spec. A - Eroded through 8-10 piles for 3/4" to high speed end. Spec. B - 3/16" D hole through 15-20 piles at high speed end. 1/16" D hole 1/2" from low speed end.	At end of 5 min Spec. B - Coating bubbled at high speed and at low speed end. At end of 10 min Spec. A - Coating bubbled and ruptured at high speed end. Bubbled along entire leading edge. Eroded through 8-10 plies at high speed end and at low speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	l min.	3 min.	20 min. 20 min.	10 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	1	l min.	8 min. 15 min.	10 min. 5 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ω	ω	of .	10
Top Coat	Bostik 1007 Gaco N-700-9 (N-300-11) (Spray)	Bostik 1007 Gaco N-700-9 (N-300-11) (Spray)	Exp.127736	Exp.127736
Primer	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007	Primer EC 579 Tie Coat Exp.127735	Primer EC 579 Tie Coat Exp.127735
Coated By	A M.C.	A.M.G.	C.A.L.	C.A.L.
Specimen No.	336 B	337 A B	338 A B	339 A B

WADC-TR-53-185

Remarks	At end of 3 min Spec. A - Coating bubbled at high speed end. At end of 5 min Coating bubbled for 1" of leading edge to high speed end. Eroded through coat and 1 ply for 1/\u00fa" to high speed end. At end of 9 min Spec. B - Bubble 1/16" D at high speed end and in center of leading edge.	At end of 5 min Spec. A - Coating bubbled for 3/4" of leading edge to high speed end. At end of 9 min Spec. A - Eroded through 5-7 plies for 1" to high speed end. Spec. B - Coating bubbled and ruptured at high speed and at low speed ends.	At end of 45 min Spec. A - Fin hole through coating 4" from high speed end. At end of 60 min Spec. B - 2 pin holes through coating at high speed end. At end of 75 min Spec. A - 3 bubbles 1/8" D hole at low speed end, through 5-10 plies at high speed end - 3 small holes. Spec. B - Bubbled and 4" hole through 8-10 plies at through 8-10 plies at high speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	7. •nim	9 min. 9 min.	75 min. 75 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	3 min.	5 min. 9 min.	45 min. 60 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10	10	01
Top Coat	Gaco N-200- 5E	Gaco N-200- 5E	Goodyear 23-56 (Brush)
Primer	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007 Gaco 5E	Bostik 1007 Goodyear 23-56 (Brush)
Coated By	C.A.L.	C.A.L.	C.A.L.
Specimen No.	340 A B	341 A B	342 A B

TABLE NO. 3 (CONT.)

	pec. A - low Bubble d end. pec. A - s and I speed and B - s, coating, h speed	ded ded bly st of st of and high	ating end. oded -3 h speed
Remarks	At end of 30 min Spec. A - Bubble 3/4" x 1/8" at low speed end. Spec. B - Bubble 1/2" long at low speed end. At end of 40 min Spec. A - Eroded through bubbles and 1 ply of cloth at high speed and low speed ends. Spec. B - Eroded through bubbles, coating, and 5-10 plies at high speed and low speed ends.	At end of 9 min.—Spec. A — Coating bubbled — Eroded through coat and 8-10 ply of 181 cloth along most of leading edge. Spec. B — Eroded through coating and 3-5 plies for 1/2" at high speed and low speed ends.	At end of 1 min Coating bubbled at high speed end. At end of 3 min Eroded through coating and 2-3 plies for 1/4" to high speed end.
Total Time of Exposure	40 min.	9 min. 9 min.	3 min. 3 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	30 min.	1	l min. l min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10	10	6
Top Coat	Goodyear 23-56 (Brush)	Godyear 23–56s (Spray)	Goodyear 23–56s (Spray)
Primer	Bostik 1007 Goodyear 23-56 (Brush)	Goodyear Bostik 1007 Goodyear (#S21) 23-56S (#S22) (Spray)	Goodyear Bostik 1007 Goodyear (#S23) 1007 23-56S (#S24) (Spray)
Coated By	G.A.I.	Goodyear (#S21) (#S22)	Goodyear (#S23) (#S24)
Specimen No.	34,3 A B	344. А В	345 A B

TABLE NO. 4
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Outdoor Exposure Tests on Neoprene Coatings

Remarks	At end of 20 min Light pitting along high speed end of leading edge. At end of 50 min Pin hole through coating. At end of 85 min 1/16" D hole eroded through laminate at low speed end. Small hole through coating at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 100 min 1/8" D hole through laminate at low speed end. Eroded through coating and several plies in 5 small holes at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 15 min Small bubble 1/2" from high speed end. At end of 17 min Eroded through lifted coating.
Total Time of Exposure	100 min.	20 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	50 min.	17 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	20 min.	15 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Wils) Erosion	0	©
Outdoor	1 yr.	1 yr.
Topcoat	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23-56 (Brush)	Goodyear 23–56 Spray
1 Primer	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007
Specimen No.	A 6114	422 B

At end of 20 min. - Eroded through coating and several plies for 1/2" of leading

edge to high speed end.

Remarks	At end of 30 min Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 80 min Black conductive coating eroded from most of leading edge. At end of 150 min h holes 1/16 to 1/8" D eroded through coating and 20-30 plies at high speed end. At end of 110 min 1/16" D hole through coatings at high speed end of leading at low speed end of leading at low speed end of leading edge. At end of 145 min Spec. B 3/8" D hole through coating and laminate at low speed end of leading edge. At end of 145 min Spec. B 3/8" D hole through coating and laminate at low speed end of leading edge. 1/16" D hole through leading edge. I'from high speed end.	At end of 15 min Light pitting along high speed end. At end of 20 min Spec. A - Small hole through coating at	
Total Time Of Exposure	150 min. 145 min.	25 min.	30 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	110 min.	20 min.	25 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	15 min.	15 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ដ	6	o .
Outdoor Exposed	1 yr.	1 yr.	
Primer Topcoat	Goodyear 23-56 (6 Brush coats) Goodyear RIUL-296 (2 brush coats)	Bostik Gaco N-79 1 1007 (6 brush coats)	
-	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007	
Specimen No.	487 A В	536 A	м

TABLE NO. 4 (CONT.)

Renarks	At end of 20 min Abraded along entire leading edge with light pitting at high speed end. At end of 75 min Eroded through coating along edge of high speed clip. At end of 90 min 1/8" D hile through coat and 10-15 plies at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 20 min Fine pitting along high speed end of leading edge. At end of 50 min Coating bubbled and ruptured at high speed end. At end of 60 min Eroded through coat and 15-20 plies for 1" of leading edge to high speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	•uin 06	60 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	75 min.	50 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	20 min.	20 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ទ	01
Outdoor Exposed	3 mos	3 mos.
Primer Topcoat	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23-56 (Brush)	Bostik Goodyear 3 23–56 (Spray)
	Bostik 1007	Bostik
Specimen No.	4 9TH	420 B

Remarks	At end of 15 min Fine abrasion and pitting along high speed end.	At end of 25 min Eroded through coating along edge of high speed clip. At end of 40 min Spec. B - Coating bubbled at high speed end. Eroded through 10-15 plies for 1/2" of leading edge at high speed end. At end of 80 min Spec. A - Eroded through 8-10 ply along edge of high speed clip. 1/32" D hole through 10-15 plies in center of leading edge.	At end of 15 min Light pitting along high speed end.	At end of 50 min Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 60 min Spec. A - Bubbled along most of leading edge. Eroded through 10-20 plies 1" to high speed end. Spec. B - Bubbled and ruptured at low speed and high speed end end of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	80 min. 40 min.		60 min.	60 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	25 min. 25 min.		50 min.	50 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	15 min. 15 min.		15 min.	15 mtn.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	6		6	
Outdoor	6 mos.		3 mos.	
O Primer Topcoat E	Bostik Gaco N-79 1007 (8 oz/gal N-300-9)	(Brush)	Caco N-700-9	(8 oz/gal N-300-9) (Brush)
Primer	Bostik 1007		Bostik Gaco	22
Specimen No.	535 A B		551 A	m m

TABLE NO. 4 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 20 min Fine pitting at high speed end	105 min. of leading edge. At end of 40 min Spec. A - 1/8" D bubble at high speed
Total Time Of Exposure	55 min.	105 min.
Time To Total Time Erode Thru Of Coating Exposure	40 min.	75 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	20 min.	20 min.
Thickness Ti Coating Ir (Mils) Ex	01	
Outdoor Exposed	3 mos.	
Primer Topcoat	tik Goodyear	1/04- 23-50 27 (Brush)
Specimen No. Pri	554 A Bos	B 27

at edge of low speed clip.
At end of 105 min. - Increased bubbling at low speed end.
Scattered holes through coating at low speed end of leading

end. Pin hole through coat

end of leading edge.

At end of 55 min. - Spec. A

1/4" hole through 15-20

ply at high speed end.

At end of 75 min. - Spec. B

Small bubbles at low speed

TABLE NO. 5
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - l"/Hr. Rainfall
Gaco Neoprene Coatings
Gates Engineering Co.

Remarks	At end of 25 min	high speed end of lead-	At end of 50 minSpec. A-	Coating heavily pitted along entire leading edge.	Pin hole through coating	at high speed end of lead-	ing edge.	At end of 55 minSpec. A-	and 8-10 plies at high	speed end. Two small holes	through coating and 3-5	plies in center of leading	edge. Spec. B - Coating	heavily pitted along entire	leading edge. Through	coating at edge of high
Time To Total Time Prode Thru Of Coating Exposure	55 Min.	60 Min.														
P4 1	50 Min.	55 Min.														
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	25 Min.	25 Min.														
Thickness Coating (Mils)	0.6	8,57														
Curing Topcoat Schedule	Air Dry															
Topcoat	Gaco N-79 Air Dry										•					

speed clip.

At end of 60 min. - Spec.BEroded through 10-15 plies
at edge of high speed clip.

Gaco N-15

№ †199

ф

Primer

Specimen No.

TABLE NO. 5 (CONT.)

Remarks	** end of 30 min =	Scattered pitting along	high speed end of leading	edge. At end of 40 min Spec. A -	Eroded through coating at	edge of high speed clip.	Fin hole through coating	1" from high speed end.	At end of 45 min Spec. A -	Froded through 8-10 plies	along edge of high speed	clip. Four small holes	through coating at high	speed end of leading edge.	Spec. B - Pin hole through	coating 1" from high speed	end of leading edge.	At end of 60 min Spec. B -	Froded through 8-10 plies	in several small holes at	back amond and of leading
Time To Total Time brode Thru Of Coating Exposure). [.]	45 millio	60 min.												٠						
124	1	יחבות טף יחבות טצ	30 min. 45 min.																		
Time To Initiate Erosion		30 min.	30 min.																	-	
Thickness Coating (Mils)		0.8	0.6) * ;			
Curing Schedule		Air Dry														-					
Curing Primer Topcoat Schedule		Gaco N-79																- 1			
Primer		Gaco N-15 Gaco N-79 Air Dry																			
Specimen No.	Ì	665 A	щ	ŧ														,		4.	

high speed end of leading edge.

83	in Light	eading edge.	in Spec. A	2 pin holes
Remarks	At end of 25 min Light	speed end of l	At end of 45 m	leading edge.
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Couring Coating Initiate Erode Thru Of Schedule (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	50 min.	55 min.		
Time To Erode Thru Coating	25 min. 45 min.	25 min. 50 min.		
Time To Initiate Erosion	25 min.	25 min.		
Thickness Coating (Mils)	0.6	0.6		
Curing Schedule	Air Dry	Hrs. at	200°F	
Topcoat	Gaco N-15 Gaco N-79 Air Dry			
Primer	Maco N-15			
Specimen No.	0 V 699	Д		

20

along edge of high speed clip and 1/8" D hole at high

leading edge. At end of 55 min. - Spec. Eroded through 8-10 plies

speed end of leading edge.

Iwo pin holes through coat-

ing at high speed end of

Spec. B - Heavy pitting along entire leading edge.

speed end of leading edge.

in several holes at high

leading edge.

At end of 50 min. - Spec

Exceed through 10-15 pli

Small hole through coating

at high speed end of

Spec. B - Heavy pitting along entire leading edge.

speed end of leading edge

through coating at high

TABLE NO. 5 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 25 min Light scattered pitting along	high speed end of leading	At end of 45 min Heavy	pitting along entire lead- ing edge. Small hole	through coating at high	speed end of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	50 min.	50 min.				
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Curing Coating Initiate Erode Thru Of Schedule (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	25 min. 45 min.	25 min. 45 min.				
Time To Initiate Erosion	25 min.	25 min.				
Thickness Coating (Mils)	0*6	0.6				
Curing Schedule	Air Dry	Hrs. at	200°F			
Primer Topcoat	Gaco N-79					
Primer	Gaco N-15 Gaco N-79					
Specimen No.	670 A	Д				

21

edge.
At end of 50 min. - Eroded through 8-10 plies in several small holes at

high speed end of leading edge.

IB. Anti-Static Coatings

In order to carry off static charges on neoprene covered aircraft surfaces, anti-static coatings as outlined in MIL-C-7439A, class II, are necessary. To meet this need, both Gates Engineering Company and Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company developed conductive coatings.

Gates N-51 and Goodyear R14L-23-252 were evaluated for rain erosion resistance as outlined in specification MIL-C-7439A (reference 15) as follows.

Ten test specimens of glass reinforced laminates with 2 mils Gaco N-15 primer, approximately 5-6 mils Gaco N-79 and 2 mils of Gaco N-51 anti-static material were brush coated.

Specimen No.	Tests
671 A & B 672 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph & 1"/hr.
676 A & B 680 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph & 1"/hr. after exposure - 200°F for 20 hrs.
673 A & B	Outdoor Exposure - 3 months

Six specimens were primed with Bostik 1007 and coated with Goodyear 23-56 neoprene. A 2 mil film of Goodyear's anti-static coating Rl4L-23-252 was brushed on the specimens to give a total coating thickness of approximately 10 mils.

The specimens were numbered and prepared for the standard rain erosion test as follows:

Specimen No.	Tests					
808 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph & 1"/hr.					
809 A & B	Rain Erosion Tests - 500 mph & 1"/hr. after exposure - 200°F for 20 hrs.					
810 A & B	Outdoor Exposure - 3 months					

Erosion tests at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall were carried out on specimens #671, 679, and 808 after air drying for 150 hours at room temperature. Specimens #678, 680, and 809 were air dried at room temperature for 150 hours and then exposed to 200°F for 20 hours and evaluated for rain erosion resistance under standard conditions as noted above. The specimens of the Gates air dried coatings after testing are shown in Figure No. 4.

Specimens #673 and 810 were placed on outdoor exposure for three months at Buffalo, New York.

WADC-TR-53-185

The results on the Gaco N-51 anti-static coating are shown in bar graph on page 103. All the results on Gaco and Goodyear anti-static coatings are outlined in Table #6 on pages 24 through 29.

Based upon these tests, Gaco N-51 and Goodyear R14L-23-252 anti-static coatings satisfactorily meet the rain erosion requirement of specification MTL-C-7439A (reference 15).

The electrical transmission tests on Goodyear R14L-23-252 anti-static coating have been checked by Wright Air Development Center and have been found satisfactory. Tests on electrical transmission of Gaco N-51 are in the process of being checked.

TABLE NO. 6 Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall Tests on Gaco N-51 Conductive Top Coating

	•		ę, f
Remarks	At end of 10 min Scattered pitting through black topcoat along high speed end. At end of 40 min Black topcoat eroded from entire leading edge. Two pin holes through neoprene coatings at high speed end of leading	edge. At end of $45 \mathrm{min.}$ - Eroded through 15-20 plies for $1/4^{\mu}$ of leading edge to high speed end.	Scattered pitting through black topcoat along high speed end of leading edge. At end of 45 min Black topcoat eroded from entire leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	4,5 min.		50 min.
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	10 min. 40 min.		10 min. l _k 5 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	10 min.		10 min.
Thickness Time To Time To Coating Initiate Erode Tr (Mils) Erosion Coating	6		6
Curing Schedule	Air Dry		Air Dry
Topcoat	Gaco N-51 (Brush 1 Coat)		Gaco N-51 (Brush 1 Coat)
Curing Frimer Tie Coat Topcoat Schedule	Gaco N-79 (Brush 6 Coats)		Gaco N-15 Gaco N-79 Gaco N-51 Air Dry (Brush 2 (Brush 1 Coats) Coats) Coat)
Primer	Gaco N-15 Gaco N-79 Gaco N-51 Air Dry (Brush 2 (Brush 1 Coats) Coats) Coat)		Gaco N-15 (Brush 2 Coats)
Specimen No.	671 A	24	671 B

Small hole eroded through coatings at high speed end of leading edge.

At end of 50 min. Coating bubbled for 1" of leading edge to high speed end. 1/4" D hole through several plies at high speed end of leading edge.

Specimen No.

672 A

m

TABLE NO. 6 (CONT.)

Eroded through coatings in small hole at high speed end. At end of 45 min Spec. Eroded through 8-10 plies for 1/2" of leading edge. Spec. B - 1/8" D hole through 5-7 plies at high speed end of leading edge.

676 A

m

Remarks	At end of 20 min	through black topcoat at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 45 min Black topcoat eroded from most of leading edge. At end of leading at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 50 min Spec. A - 1/8" D hole through 8-10 plies at high speed end edge. Spec. B - Eroded through 10-12 plies for 1" of leading edge. Spec. B - Eroded through 10-12 plies edge to high speed end.	Spec. A & B - Scattered pin holes through the N-51 anti-static coat were noted after 10 min. At end of 35 min Most of the N-51 coating was eroded off the leading edge and a small pin hole eroded through the remaining coat at the high speed end. At end of 45 min Coating eroded off at the high speed end and several layers of fiberglas eroded away.
Total Time Of Exposure	50 min.	50 min.	45 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	45 min.	45 min.	35 min. 35 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	20 min.	20 min.	10 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	6	6	6 6
Curing Schedule	Air Dry Bake 20	hrs. at 2000 F	Exposed outdoors 3 mos.
Topcoat	Gaco N-51	Coat	Gaco N-51 (Brush 1 Coat)
Tie Coat	Gaco N-79	Coats	Gaco N-79 (Brush 6 Coats)
Primer	Gaco N-15		Gaco N-15 (Brush 2 coats)
Specimen No.	680 A	ф	673 A B

TABLE NO. 6 (CONT.)

Tests on Goodyear RILL-23-252 Anti-Static Coating

Remarks	Spec. A - Several small holes were visible in the anti-static topcoat in 40 minutes. By 75 minutes, this coating was completely eroded off the base coat on the high speed half of the leading edge. A small hole eroded through the base coat in 109 minutes and was enlarged to 1/2" D in 204 minutes.	Spec. B - The anti-static top- coat eroded off in the same
Total Time Of Exposure	20lt min.	141 ամո.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	40 min. 109 min.	40 min. 100 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	to min.	lto min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	24	
Tie Coat Topcoat	. RILL- 23-252	
	Goodyear RIUL- 23–56 23–252	
Primer	Bostik 1007	
Specimen No.	808 A	ф
		27

time as it did on Spec. A; however, several small bubbles appeared on the base coat in 90 minutes near the high speed end of the leading edge. These ruptured in 100 minutes and a 1" D section of the coating delaminated.

TABLE NO. 6 (CONT.)

Remarks	Spec. A - Scattered holes were visible in the anti-static topoat after 35 minutes. This topcoat was eroded off the high speed half of the leading edge in 65 minutes. A small hole eroded through the base coat in 105 minutes and after 170 minutes the hole eroded through the 1/8" laminate. Several more pin holes had eroded through the base coat.	140 min. Spec. B - The anti-static top- coat eroded off in the same
Total Time Of Exposure	170 min.	
Time To Time To Total Time Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	35 min. 105 min.	35 min. 95 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	35 min.	35 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	ដ	
Topcoat	R141 23-252	
Tie Coat Topcoat	Goodyear Rilli- 23-56 23-252	
Primer	Bostik 1007	
Specimen No.	809 A	М

minutes a small hole eroded through the base coat at the high speed end of the leading edge. It enlarged to 1/4" D and eroded through 8-10 plies of the laminate in 140 minutes.

time as Specimen A. After 95

TABLE NO. 6 (CONTD.)

Remarks	Spec. A - Scattered holes were eroded through the topcoat after 15 minutes. A small bubble formed at the center of the leading edge in 20 minutes. After 50 minutes of the test the high speed half of the leading edge was bubbled. A hole eroded through the coating in 65 minutes and by 70 minutes there were holes at the center and high speed end of the leading edge.
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Coating Initiate Erode Thru Of (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	70 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	15 min. 65 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	15 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ង
Tie Coat Topcoat	. R141 23-252
Tie Coat	Goodyear R1ltf 23-56 23-252
Primer	Bostik 1007
Specimen No.	810 A

m

Spec. B - After 15 minutes scattered holes emded through the topcoat. The leading edge was pitted lightly in 50 minutes. Scattered bubbles appeared at the high speed end in 120 minutes.

135 min.

130 min.

15 min.

A hole eroded through the bubbles in 130 minutes and enlarged to 3/8" D in 135 minutes.

IC. Tests on Primers

It has been previously reported that neoprene coatings such as Goodyear 23-56 or Gates N-79 have good rain erosion resistance properties when applied over glass reinforced laminates or aluminum; however, the success of these coatings is dependent to a very large extent on the ability of a primer coat to bond the neoprene to metal or laminate, for the neoprene does not have sufficient adhesion to metal and glass reinforced laminates.

Previously, Gates Primer N-100-1 and Bostik 1007 were evaluated with the solvent type neoprene such as Goodyear 23-56 and Gates N-700-9. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company's primer EC-579 was investigated; however, it had to be used with a tie coat EC-1086 to insure bond of the neoprene latex topcoat EC-1096.

Based upon these previous tests, Bostik 1007 was found to be the most satisfactory. However, B. B. Chemical Company indicated that Bostik primer 1007, used in the neoprene coating systems, might be unavailable in the future due to the withdrawal from the market of one of the compounding ingredients. Several new Bostik primers were offered as substitutes. Other primers were also evaluated and they are listed below.

TABLE NO. 7

Description of Primers

<u>Material</u>	M	fg.	Viscosity App		Application General		Туре	Remarks			<u> </u>
Bostik 4764-27	B.B. cal C Cambr Mass.	idge,	Medium			Solvent May be re with MEK spray.					
Bostik 4764-76	11 11	π	Ħ	17	n	11		11	11	II	11
Bostik 4764-88	ff It	Ħ	tt	Ħ	n	Ħ		Ħ	11	n	11
Bostik 4764-90	11 11	11	n	n	11	π	•	11	Ħ	π	II
Gaco N-15	Gates Compa	_		Brus! Spra		Solvent Rubber R	esin		ves a ainy		newhat ing
Thixon G-135	Dayto: Chemic Produc Labor:	cal	Medium	Brus! Spra		Solvent Rubber R	esin	Ħ	11	Ħ	Ħ

TABLE NO. 7 (Cont.)

Material	Mfg.	Viscosity	Application	General Type	Remarks
Goodyear 450C	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	Medium	Brush or Spray	Solvent Rubber	Gives smooth coating.
3M-EC-579	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co.	Very Low	Brush or Spray	Solvent Resin	Gives a smooth coating.
Pliobond	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	Medium	Brush	Solvent Rubber Resin	May be reduced with MEK to spray
Hysol 6109	Houghton Laboratories Olean, N.Y.	High	Brush	Solvent Epoxide Resin	Has to have Hardner N added before use
Pro Seal 581	Coast Paint & Chemical Co. Los Angeles California	Medium	Brush	Solvent Rubber	Gives smooth coating.

Of all the above primers evaluated, 3M-EC-579 had the best application properties, but upon brush application of Goodyear 23-56 or Gates N-79, the solvent in the neoprene tended to wash off the EC-579 from the glass laminate, by solvent action. This objectionable feature was overcome by allowing the EC-579 to air dry for several days or by spraying the neoprene coating over the EC-579.

Pliobond can be reduced for spray application; but, when sufficient solvent is added to prevent cobwebbing, the solid content is very low necessitating three spray coats.

Hysol 6109 was catalyzed with 6.8 parts of Hardner N added to 100 parts of 6109 before use. The pot life was approximately 6 hours at 85°F. The Bostik samples were similar to Bostik 1007. The Thixon and Gaco N-15 primers gave a grainy film but were satisfactory. For the specimens used in these tests, all the primers were applied by brushing.

Specimens fabricated of Fiberglas 181-114 and Selectron 5003 resin, were sanded with #320 "wet or dry" paper, washed with toluol, and coated as outlined in Table No. 8, below.

TABLE NO. 8 Primer Evaluation Rain Erosion Tests at 500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall

Specimen No.	Primer	Dilution	Top Coat			
639 A & B	Bostik 4764-76	l:1 with Methyl Ethyl Ketone	Gaco N-79			

TABLE NO. 8 (Cont.)

Specimen No.	Primer	Dilution	Top Coat
640 А & В	Bostik 4764-76	1:1 with Methyl Ethyl Ketone	Goodyear 23-56
641 А & В	Bostik 4764-88	l part Methyl Ethyl Ketone 2 parts Bostik	Gaco N-79
642 A & B	11 11 11	n n n	Goodyear 23-56
643 A & B	Bostik 4764-90	l:l with Methyl Ethyl Ketone	Gaco N-79
644 A & В	H 11 H	и и и	Goodyear 23-56
645 A & B	Pro-Seal 581	As Received	Gaco N-79
646 A & B	n n	n n	Goodyear 23-56
539 A & B	Bostik 4764-27	No Dilution	Gaco N-79
540 A & B	re tè te	H H	Gaco N-79
541 A & B	n n n	tt tt	Gaco N-79
542 A & B	n n	77 79	Goodyear 23-56
543 A & B	n n n	n n	Goodyear 23-56
544 А & В	n n	tt tt	Goodyear 23-56
551 A & B	11 11 11	11 11	Gaco N-79
556 A & B	n n n	н п	Goodyear 23-56
591 A & B	N-15	11 11	Gaco N-79
599 A & B	N-15	11 11	Goodyear 23-56
652 A & B	N-15	n n	и и и
653 A & B	N-1 5	n n	n n n

TABLE NO. 8 (Cont.)

Specimen No.	Primer	Dilution	Top Coat
593 A & B	EC-579	Used as received	Gaco N-79
594 A & B	EC-579	n n n	Goodyear 23-56
595 A & B	Pliobond	11 11 H	Gaco N-79
596 A & B	Pliobond	H H H	Goodyear 23-56
614 A & B	n n	ff ti H	11 11 11
615 A & B	n n	11 11 11	n n
597 A & B	Hysol 6109	11 11 11	Gaco N-79
598 A & B	199 99	и и и	Goodyear 23-56
611 A & B	Thixon G-135	l part Amyl Acetate	Goodyear 23-56
612 A & B	и п	l part Toluol 8 parts Thixon	Gaco N-79
618 A & B	Thixon G-135	11 11 11	Goodyear 23-56
619 A & B	11 11		Goodyear 23-56
630 A & B	n n	tt 11 11	Goodyear 23-56
631 A & B	n n		Gaco N-79
654 А & В	Thixon G-135	11 11 11	Goodyear 23-56
655 A & B	11 11	11 11 11	Gaco N-79
616 A & B	Goodyear 450C	Unknown	Goodyear 23-56
617 A & B	n n n	n .	Goodyear 23-56

The specimens were allowed to air dry for five days before testing. After this period, the specimens were evaluated for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. Some were exposed at 200°F for 20 hours, others at 400°F for 10 minutes and others outdoors for three months to one year, then tested for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall.

Results of Tests on Primers

The results obtained with the neoprene coatings using the above primers are shown in Table No.9. Casual inspection of the time to erode through the neoprene coatings indicates no great difference in the relative rain erosion resistance of the coatings with the various primers under evaluation. However, there is a great deal of difference in the adhesion of the neoprene coatings to the primers after test.

Both Goodyear 23-56 and Gaco N-79 had excellent adhesion to Gaco N-15 primer, both before and after test.

Goodyear 23-56 had slightly better adhesion to EC-579 than Gaco N-79 but they were both satisfactory before and after testing. The only objectionable feature of EC-579 is its tendency to be washed off by the solvent in the neoprene coating during brush application of the first coat.

Gaco N-79 had definitely poorer adhesion to the Pliobond than did Goodyear 23-56. However, the bond of both neoprene coatings to Pliobond was unsatisfactory, both before and after test. During test, the neoprene coatings had such poor adhesion that they would creep and lost practically all adhesion. This same characteristic was also observed with the Hysol 6109.

Based upon these tests Gaco N-15 primer warrants extensive testing. 3M-EC-579 would merit further investigation if the dried film was not susceptible to attack by the solvent in the Goodyear 23-56 and Gaco N-79 top coating.

Goodyear 23-56 and Gates N-79 had satisfactory rain erosion resistance and excellent adhesion to Thixon G-135, both before and after testing.

Although the Bostik primers behave very much alike, on the basis of these few tests it would seem that Bostik 4764-76 gives the best adhesion, followed by Bostik 4764-88, -27, -90. All four of the Bostik primers tested meet preliminary requirements of MIL-C-7439A. Preliminary erosion tests were made on the primer for neoprene cement submitted by Coast Paint and Chemical Company of Los Angeles, California, Pro-Seal 581 primer.

The results of the rain erosion tests at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall, using Goodyear 23-56 and Gaco N-79 neoprene coatings over the Pro-Seal primer are shown in Table No. 9 and in the bar graph on page 108.

Specimens with Goodyear and Gaco coating over the Pro-Seal 581 primer, #645 and 646, are shown in Photograph #1. The Pro-Seal 581 primer had satisfactory adhesion to the laminate specimen under the Goodyear 23-56 coating but lifted from the specimen when used under the Gaco N-79 neoprene with subsequent bubbling.

Outdoor Durability Tests on Primers

The outdoor durability tests using various primers with Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56 top coatings were completed and erosion tests carried out. The specimens were exposed outdoors in Buffalo, New York, on a rack at \$150\$ facing south for the periods noted.

Specimen No.	Primer	Neoprene Top Coat	Months of Outdoor Exposure
654 A & B	Thixon G-135	Goodyear 23-56	3
655 A & B	Thixon G-135	Gaco N-79	3
652 A & B	Gaco N-15 (P-225-D-11)	Goodyear 23-56	3
653 A & B	Gaco N-15 (P-225-D-11)	Gaco N-79	3
556 A & B	Bostik 4764-27	Goodyear 23-56	1 12
553 A & B	Bostik 4764-27	Gaco N-79	12

The specimens were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall. The results are outlined in Table No. 10 and bar graph on page 109.

Based upon the examination of these specimens and results of these and previous erosion tests, the above primers when used with Gates N-79 and Goodyear 23-56 top coatings meet the three month outdoor exposure test outlined in MIL-C-7439.

Bostik 4764-27 coated specimens #553 with Gaco N-79 and #556 with Goodyear 23-56 top coats, after one year's exposure, are shown in Figure No. 2. Microscopic examination of both top coats indicates alligatoring of the neoprene films. Gaco N-79 showed slightly greater amount compared to Goodyear 23-56. This effect is not visible to the unaided eye.

Heat Tests on Primers and Coatings

Further evaluation tests on primers for bonding neoprene to glass reinforced laminates were carried out. Test specimens, as noted, were given two brush coats of reduced Thixon G-135 primer. The Thixon primer being slightly high in viscosity was reduced 25% with a mixture of equal parts by volume of amyl acetate and toluol. Four standard test specimens were given two brush coats of Gaco primer N-15. The viscosity of this primer was satisfactory and needed no thinning for brush application. After allowing the specimens to air dry for 30 minutes at room temperature, they were numbered and brush coated with Goodyear 23-56 and Gaco N-79 to give a ten mil coating. The samples prepared were as follows:

2	Specimen No.	Primer	Neoprene Coating
,*	630 A & B	Thixon G-135	Goodyear 23-56
	631 A & B	Thixon G-135	Gaco N-79
	632 A & B	Gaco N-15	Goodyear 23-56
	633 A & B	Gaco N-15	Gaco N-79

These specimens were allowed to air dry for 100 hours at room temperature and then put in an oven at 175°F for 4 hours to remove the residual solvent. The eight specimens were then put into an oven at 400°F for 10 minutes.

Specimens #630 and 631 with Thixon G-135, Goodyear 23-56 and Gates N-79, blistered badly when exposed to the 400°F temperature for 10 minutes. The blistered area covered most of the specimen.

Specimens #632 with Gates primer N-15 and Goodyear 23-56 top coating blistered slightly in several spots along the leading edge but were not as bad as specimens #630 and 631. Specimens #633 with Gates primer N-15 and N-79 top coat did not blister and appeared satisfactory. All specimens, however, were tested for erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall. Specimens #630, 631 and 632 all failed in periods ranging from 3 to 10 minutes due to rupturing of the blisters and consequent erosion of the glass laminate beneath. Specimens #633 lasted for 35 to 40 minutes indicating a slight loss in erosion resistance after exposure to 400°F for ten minutes. The results are tabulated in Table No. 11 on page 51.

Tests on Goodyear Primers and Coatings.

Additional tests on primers were carried out on specimens prepared by the Research Laboratory of Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company. Samples of glass-reinforced test specimens were coated with three primers as outlined below. Two coats of each primer were brushed on and the top coat of Goodyear 23-56 sprayed on.

Evaluation Tests on Primers with Goodyear 23-56

Specimen No.	Primer	Top Coat					
611; A & B 615 A & B	Pliobond	Goodyear 23-56					
616 A & B 617 A & B	Goodyear M-450-C	Goodyear 23-56					
618 A & B 619 A & B	Thixon G-135	Goodyear 23-56					

The results obtained with the Goodyear neoprene 23-56 using the above primers are shown in Table No. 12 on pages 52 through 54, and in bar graph on page 118. Analysis of the date shows that Pliobond and Thixon G-135 give satisfactory adhesion to Goodyear 23-56 during the erosion test. Goodyear primer M-450-C allowed the Goodyear 23-56 to bubble during erosion test indicating unsatisfactory adhesion properties.

The bond of the Pliobond and Thixon G-135 to the neoprene coating 23-56 was evaluated, subjectively, by cutting two parallel lines $1/4^n$ apart, through the coating and peeling back the 1/4 tab of neoprene coating.

The adhesion of the Thixon G-135 was excellent both before and after erosion testing. As previously noted, the adhesion of the neoprene to the Pliobond both before and after testing was considerably inferior to that obtained with Bostik 1007.

Summary of Results on Primers

Based upon such properties as general application characteristics, adhesion before and after erosion testing, resistance to 400° F, conformance to tests outlined in specification MTL-C-7439A (except electrical tests) the following comments can be made on the primers tested.

Bostik 4764-27	-	MIL-	7439	ory i Heactory	t re						ts
Bostik 4764-76	-	11	u 1	11	11	11	11	11	Ħ	Ħ	'n
Bostik 4764-88	-	11	tr 1	1 11	Ħ	11	Ħ	Ħ	tt	13	11
Bostik 4764-90	-	Ħ	11 1	1 11	11	11	Ħ,	11	n	11	Ħ
Thixon G-135		u	11 1	t 11	11	Ħ	Ħ	11	11	11	11
Gates N-15	-			tory :					s up	to	375 ⁰ F-
EC-579	-	Solv	ent :	resis	tance	uns	atis	fact	ory		
Pro-Seal 581	-	Satisfactory with Pro-Seal top coat and Goodyear 23-56 - unsatisfactory with Gates N-79									
Goodyear 450-C		Unsatisfactory - poor adhesion with Goodyear 23-56.									
Hysol 6409	-	Unsatisfactory - poor adhesion									
Pliobond	-	Unsa	tisf	actor	y - p	oor	adhe	sion			*

TABLE NO. 9
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Primers For Neoprene Coatings

Remarks	At end of 30 min Scattered bitting at high	speed end of leading edge. At end of 60 min Spec. A - Pin hole through coating	at high speed end. Spec. B - Coating bubbled 1/2" from high speed end.	At end of 70 min Spec. A-Bubbled in center and at	low speed end of leading edge. Small hole through	several ply at high speed end.	10-12 plies at high speed end.	At end of 40 min Light pitting at high speed end	of leading edge. At end of 90 min Spec. A - Three small bubbles at high speed end. Fin hole through
Total Time Of Exposure	70 min.	70 min.						115 min.	115 min.
Time To Time To Total Time Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	30 min. 60 min.	30 min. 60 min.						40 min. 90 min.	40 min. 105 min.
A) 1	30 min.	30 min.						40 min.	40 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Wils) Erosion	10							11	
Topcoat	Gaco N-79	N-300-9) per gal. N-700-9						Goodyear 23-56	.
Primer Application	2							Brush (2	
•	Gaco 725-11-1	Radome Coating Primer						Gaco Brush 225-11-D coats)	Radome Coating Primer
Specimen No.	591 A	щ						599 A	щ

At end of 105 min. - Spec. B Eroded through coating at
edge of high speed clip.
At end of 115 min. - Spec. A Through coating and 10-15 plies
in 1/6" hole at high speed end.
Spec. B - Eroded through several
plies along edge of high speed
elip.

one bubble.

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 30 minSpec. A - Scattered pitting along high speed end. Spec. B - 1/8" bubble at high speed end. At end of 40 min Spec. A - Coating bubbled and ruptured in 1/4" hole at high speed end. Spec. B - Eroded through coat and 10-15 plies in 1/8" hole 1/2" from high speed end.	At end of 35 min Scattered pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 40 min Spec. A- Coating bubbled along most of leading edge. Spec. B - Coating bubbled at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 45 min Spec. A - 1/2" D hole eroded through 3-5 plies at high speed end. Spec. B - 1/2" D bubble at high speed end of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	40 min.	45 min. 45 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	30 min. 40 min. 30 min. 30 min.	35 min. 40 min. 35 min. 40 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	35 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	6	6
Topcoat	Gaco N-700-9 (8 oz/gal N-300-9)	Goodyear 23-56
Primer Application Topcoat	Brush (2 coats)	Brush (2 coats)
	314- EC-579	3M- EC-579
Specimen No.	593 A B	594 A B

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 35 min Scattered pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 125 min Spec. B- Small bubble at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 140 min Coating bubbled at high speed end. At end of 150 min Coating bubbled and ruptured for 1/2" of leading edge at high speed end.	At end of 40 min Fine pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 75 min Spec. A-Fin hole through small bubble at high speed end. Spec. B - Small bubble 14" from high speed end. At end of 85 min Eroded through coat and 10-15 plies in 4" D hole at high speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	150 min.	85 min. 85 min.
Time To Time To Total Time Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	35 min. 140 min. 35 min. 125 min.	40 min. 75 min.
	35 min.	40 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	12	ដ
Topcoat	Gaco N-700-9	Goodyear 23–56
Primer Application Topcoat	Pliobond Brush (2 Goodyear coats) Tire & Rubber Co.	Pliobond Brush (2 coats)
1	Pliobond Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	Pliobond
Specimen No.	595 A B	5% A B

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 35 min Scattered pitting at high	speed end of leading edge. At end of 55 min. Spec. A-Coating bubbled and stripped from 1/2 of specimen. Spec. B - Coating bubbled and ruptured in 1/4" D hole at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 40 min Light,	leading edge. At end of 60 min Spec. B- 1/8" D hole eroded through coat at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 70 min Spec. A Eroded through coating along edge of high speed clip. Spec. B = 1/8" D hole eroded through 20-25 plies at high
Time To Total Time Erode Thru Of Coating Exposure	55 min.	55 min.	110 min.	70 min.	
	35 min. 55 min.	55 min.	70 min.	60 min.	
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	35 min.	35 min.	40 min.	40 min. 60 min.	
Thickness Coating (Mils)	12		97		
Topcoat	Gaco	N-000	Goodyear	23-50	
Primer Application Topcoat	Brush (1	coat)	Brush (1	coat)	
Primer	Hysol	6109 Houghton Labora- tories	Hysol	6109 Houghton Labora- tories	
Specimen No.	597 A	Ø	598 A	щ	

speed end.

At end of 110 min. - Spec. A
Eroded through 20-25 plies
along edge of high speed clip

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	100 min. At end of 45 min.	Coating abraded and pitted slightly along	entire leading edge.	Spec. A - 1/16" cut at
Time To Total Time Erode Thru Of Coating Exposure		115 min.		
Thickness Time To Time To Coating Initiate Erode Thru (Mils) Erosion Coating	45 min. 65 min.	45 min. 70 min.		
Time To Initiate Erosion	45 min.	45 min.		
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10	10		
Topcoat	Gaco N-79			
Primer Application Topcoat	Bostik Brush (2	(Source)		
Primer	Bostik 1.761.76	0/ - 70/7		
Specimen No.	639 A	щ		

Spec. A - 1/16" cut at edge of high speed clip. At end of 70 min Spec. B-	1/6" cut at edge of high speed clip. At end of 100 minSpec. A-	<pre>// LO" D hole through coat- ing and 15-20 plies at high speed end. Several pin holes through coating</pre>	along high speed end of leading edge. At end of 115 min Spec. B	and 15-30 plies at high speed end. Several pin	high speed end of leading edge.
---	--	---	--	--	---------------------------------

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	130 min. At end of 80 min Spec. B - Scattered pitting in coating	for 12" of leading edge to	At end of 90 min Spec. A - Scattered pitting in coating
Total Time of Exposure	130 min.	165 min.	
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Coating Initiate Erode Thru of (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	90 min. 115 min.	80 min. 115 min.	
Time To Initiate Erosion	90 mtn.	80 min.	
	70		
Topcoat	Goodyear	27-52	
Primer Application Topcoat	Bostik Brush	(2 coats)	
	Ι — — .	764-76	
Specimen No.	640 A	ρC	ì

WADC-TR-53-185

at high speed end. Spec. B-Pin hole through coating at high speed end of leading

At end of 130 min.-Spec. A-3/8" D hole eroded through coating and 8-10 plies at

edge.

high speed end.

At end of 165 min.-Spec. B-3/8" D hole eroded through coating and 20-30 plies at high speed end of leading

at high speed end.

At end of 115 min.-Spec. A - 1/16" cut along high speed clip. Several small bubbles

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

			m ,	\ . \ \	ı	ሗ	st-	96.0	ĮĮ,	7 (
Remarks	At end of 45 min.	Coating abraded and pitted slightly along entime leading added.	At end of 55 min. Spec. B. Pin hole through coating	next to high speed clip.	Small cut in coating	At end of 80 minSpec. B-	5/16" D hole through cosing and 5-10 plies at hi	speed end of leading edge.	Coating bubbled for 1" of	
Time To Total Time Erode Thru Of Coating Exposure	100 min.	80 min.								
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	45 min. 65 min.	55 min.								
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate 1 (Mils) Erosion	45 min.	55 min.								
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10	9								
Topcoat	Gaco N-79									
Thickness Coating Primer Application Topcoat (Mils)	Bostik Brush	(an and a)								
Primer	Bostik 1,761,-88	1								
Specimen No.	641 A	ф								

At end of 100 min. -Spec.A-Eroded through coating and 5-10 plies for 1" of lead-ing edge to high speed end. Eroded through laminate at edge of high speed clip.

leading edge to high speed

end.

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	80 min. 110 min. 150 min. At end of 80 min. Scattered pitting along	leading edge. At end of 90 minSpec. B-
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Coating Initiate Erode Thru Of Topcoat (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	150 min.	140 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	110 min.	80 min. 90 min.
Time To Initiate Frosion	80 min.	80 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10	
Topcoat	Goodyear	R
Primer Application	Bostik Brush	
Primer	Bostik 1.74188	5
Specimen No.	642 А	m

At end of 150 min.-Spec. A-Coating bubbled and ruptured for 1" of leading edge to high speed end. Eroded completely through laminate

at high speed end.

At end of 110 min.-Spec.A-Small cut in coating along edge of high speed clip. At end of 140 min.-Spec.B-Coating bubbled and ruptured

at high speed end.

for l" of leading edge to high speed end. Eroded completely through laminate

at high speed end.

Remarks	At end of 30 min Coat-	At end of 60 min Small hole in coating at high speed end. At end of 80 minSpec. B-Coating bubbled for 3/4" along leading edge to high speed end. 1/4" D hole through coating and 5-10 plies at high speed end. At end of 100 minSpec.A-Coating bubbled and ruptured for 1" of leading edge to high speed end. Eroded through 15-20 plies along edge of high speed	At end of 80 min Coat- ing abraded along entire leading edge. At end of 100 minSpec. B- Small cut in coating at high speed end. 1/16" bubbled 1/2" from high speed end. At end of 120 minSpec. A- 5/16" hole through coating and 10-30 plies at high speed end of leading edge. Spec. B- 1/2" D hole through coating and 15-20 plies at high speed end of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	100 min.	80 min.	120 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	60 min.	60 min.	80 min. 100 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	30 min.	80 min.
Thickness Coating (Wils)	92		10
Topcoat	Gaco N-79		Goodyear 23-56
Primer Application Topcoat	Brush	(2 coats)	Brush (2 coats)
Primer	Bostik	06 − 10011	Bostik 4764-90
Specimen No.	643 A	ф	6444 А В

TABLE NO. 9 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 30 min Lead-	At end of 35 minSpec. A-	from high speed end. At end of 37 minSpec. A-	<pre>1/2" D note time ugi coating 1" from high speed end. Numerous small pin</pre>	holes in lifted area. At end of 67 minSpec. B-	for 1/3 of leading edge to high speed end. 1/8" cut	along high speed clip. At end of 72 minSpec. B-	5/16" hole in coating at high speed end. Several	small pin holes in lifted area.	At end of 25 minSpec. A- Small bubble in center of	leading edge. At end of 45 minSpec. A-	5/16" hole in coating at	Trend of 60 min. Spec. A-	of leading edge.	At end of 80 min. Spec. B-	At end of 105 minSpec. B-	speed end.	At end of 122 milliproces, 2 5/16" hole eroded through coating and 15-20 plies at high speed end.	
Total Time Of Exposure	37 min.	72 min.								60 min.	125 min.								
Time To Erode Thru Coating	35 min.	67 min.								25 min. 45 min.	80 min. 105 min.			r					
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	30 min.								25 min.	80 min.								
Thickness Coating (M11s)	10									10				`,			<i>;</i> ;		
Topcoat	Gaco N-79									Goodyear	3								
Primer Application Topcoat	Pro-Seal Brush	(2 coats)								, ;									
n Primer	Pro-Seal	581								Pro-Seal Brush	185			•					

Specimen No.

645 A

m

646 A

TABLE NO. 10
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Exposure Tests on Primers

Remarks	At end of 30 min Light	At end of 45 min. Spec. B. Phn hole through coating 1/2" from high speed end. At end of 60 min. Spec. A-1/16" D hole through coating at high speed end. At end of 65 min. Spec. A-Coating bubbled for 1/2 of leading edge to high speed end. 3/8" D hole eroded through 10-15 plies at high speed end. Spec. B - Eroded through coating and 15-20 plies in 1/4" hole at high speed end and 1/8" D hole 1/2" from high speed end.	At end of 20 minScattered	At end of 30 min.—Spec. A - Pin hole through coating in center of leading edge. At end of 40 min.—Spec. B - 1/8" D hole through coating at
Total Time Of Exposure	65 min.	65 min.	60 min.	55 min.
Time To Time To Total Tim Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	30 min. 60 min.	30 min. 45 min.	20 min. 30 min.	20 min. 40 min.
	30 min.	30 min.	20 min.	20 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10		٥	
Outdoor Exposure	3 mos.		3 mos.	
Topcoat	Goodyear 23–56	(10 brush coats)	Gaco N-79	coats)
Primer Topcoat	Thixon G135 Goodyear 23-56		Thixon G135 Gaco N-79	
Specimen No.	654 A		655 A	Ø

high speed end of leading edge.

At end of 55 min.-Spec. BCoating bubbled and ruptured for
1/2 of leading edge to high speed
end. Eroded through 20-25 plies.
At end of 60 min.-Spec. A 3/32" D hole through coat and 8-10
plies scattered along leading edge.

TABLE NO. 10 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 30 min	high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 40 min fin hole through coating at high speed end of leading	edge. At end of 50 min Coating bubbled and ruptured for 3/4" of leading edge	to high speed end. Eroded through 10-15 plies.	At end of 20 min Scattered pitting along	leading edge. At end of 30 min Two	pin holes through coating at high speed end, Spec. B.	At end of 35 min Spec. A - Few pinholes along high speed
Total Time Of Exposure	50 min.	50 min.				45 min.	45 min.	,	
Time To Erode Thru Coating	30 min. hO min.	30 min. 40 min.				20 min. 35 min.	30 min.		
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	30 min.				20 min.	20 min.		
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	6					٥			
1 Outdoor Exposure	3 mos.					3 mos.			
Outdoo Topcoat Exposu	Goodyear	23-56 (10 brush coats)				Gaco N-79 3 mos	coats)		
Primer	Gaco N-15	(P225-D-11) 23-56 (10 brush coats)				Gaco N-15	F225-D-11		
Specimen No.		m				653 A	m		

15-20 plies for 3/4" to high speed end. Spec. B - Eroded through coating and 20-25

plies in 1/4" hole at high

speed end.

end of leading edge. At end of 45 min. - Spec. Eroded through coating and

TABLE NO. 10 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 15 min Light	At end of 25 min Spec. A-Pin hole through coating at high speed end of leading edge. Spec. B - Small bubbles and pin holes along leading edge. At end of 35 min Spec. A-Heavy pitting along most of leading edge. Scattered holes through coating along high speed end, 1/2 of lead-ing edge. Eroded through 10-15 plies along high speed clip. At end of 40 min Spec. B-Eroded through 10-20 plies in 1/2" hole at high speed end.	At end of 15 min Light pitting at high speed end. At end of 45 min Two pin holes through coating at high speed end. Coating bubbled at high and low speed ends. At end of 50 min Spec. B - 3 pin holes through coating bubbled along most of leading edge. At end of 55 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating and 8-10 plies in 1/2" D hole at high speed end. Coating bubbled for 3/4" of leading edge at low speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	35 min.	ال ستاس ال	55 min. 60 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	25 min.	25 min.	45 min. 50 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	15 min.	15 min.	15 min.
Thickness Coating (M11s)	œ		10
Outdoor Exposure	l year		l year
Topcoat	Gaco N-79	(> brush coats)	Goodyear 23-56 (5 brush coats)
Primer	Bostik),z-170),11	Bostik 4764-27
Specimen No.	553 A	m	556 A B

TABLE NO. 11
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings

Remarks	Coating badly blistered on both specimens when	subjected to 400°F. Blisters ruptured after 10-15 minutes of testing.	Coating on both speci-	as badly as #630. Blisters ruptured after 3-8 minutes of testing.	Small blisters along leading edge after heat	treatment. Blisters ruptured after 3 minutes of testing.	No blistering from heat treatment.	At end of 20 min Light pitting along leading edge.	At end of 35 minSpec. A- Eroded through coating at high speed end of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	10 min.	15 min.	8 min.	3 min.	10 min.	10 min.	40 min.	40 min.	
Time To Erode Thru Coating	10 min.	15 min.	8 min.	3 min.	1	ı	35 min.	40 min.	
Time To Initiate Erosion	1	ı	ŧ	ı	1	1	20 min.	20 min.	
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ដ		ង		6		6		
Curing Schedule	Air Dry 100 Hrs.	23-56 Bake 4 Hrs.1(2°F Bake 10 min. at 400°F	Air Dry 100 Hrg.	Bake 4 Hrs.1(7 F Bake 10 min.at 400°F	Air Dry 100 Hrs.	23-56 Bake u Hrs.1(2 F Bake 10 min. at 400°F	Air Dry 100 Hrs.	Bake 4 Hrs.1/24 Bake 10 min. at 1000F	
Topcoat	Goodyear	23-56	Gaco		Goodyear	23-56			
ı Primer	Thixon	G -1 35	Thixon	G -1 35	Gates	N-15	Gaco Gaco	P225-11-1	
Specimen No.	630 A	Д	631 A	Д	632 A		633 A	Д	

edge.
At end of 40 min.—Spec. AEroded through 8-10 plies
of leading edge. Spec. B
Eroded through coating at
high speed end.

TABLE NO. 12
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Primers

Remarks	At end of 30 min Scattered	leading edge. At end of 90 min Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 100 min Eroded through coating and 15-20 plies along edge of high speed clip.	At end of 30 min Scattered pitting along high speed end of leading edge. At end of 75 minSpec. A - Pin hole through coating at
Total Time Of Exposure	100 min.	100 min.	110 min.
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	30 min. 90 min.	90 min.	30 min. 75 min. 30 min. 100 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.	30 min.	30 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10		п
Topcoat	Goodyear 23_56	(Spray)	Goodyear 23–56 (Spray)
Primer Application Topcoat	Fliobond Brush		Pliobond Brush (2 coats)
1	Pliobond		Pliobond
Specimen No.	61Jt A	<u>α</u>	615 A B

leading edge.

At end of 100 min.—Spec. BEryded through coating along
edge of high speed clip.

At end of 110 min.—Spec. BEroded through 10-15 plies along
edge of high speed clip. Spec. A1/16" D hole eroded through

extreme high speed end of

laminate at high speed end of

leading edge.

TABLE NO. 12 (CONT.)

	Remarks	TOTAL TOTAL	At end of 30 min Scattered	prting along nigh speed end of leading edge.	At end of 50 min Spec. A - 1/2" D bubble in center of	leading edge.	At end of 60 min Spec. A - 3/1" D hole through coat and	8-10 plies in center of lead-	ing edge.	At end of 110 minSpec. b- Small bubble 1" from high	speed end.	At end of 115 min. Spec. b	1/IO" D Hole whough coap and 2-3 plies 1" from high	speed end.	70 min at and of 30 min - Tight
Total Time		Exposure	60 min.	115 min.											70 m²n
Time To	Initiate Erode Thru	Coating	30 min. 50 min.	30 min. 110 min.											1 Pm OC
Thickness Time To		Erosion	30 min.	30 min.											000
Thickness	Coating	(Mils)	Ø	80											ì
		Topcoat	Goodyear	23-56 (Sprav)											•
		Primer Application Topcoat	Brush	M-450 C (2 coats)			-								,
		Primer	Goodyear	M-450 C											
	Specimen	No.	616 A	, p	9										

n. At end of 30 min Light withing along leading edge	n. at high speed end. At end of 50 minSpec. B-Coating bubbled and ruptured
70 min.	50 min.
30 min. 70 min.	30 min. 50 min.
8.5	∞
Goodyear	(Spray) 8
Goodyear Brush	

At end of 50 min.—Spec. B-Coating bubbled and ruptured for 1" to high speed end.
At end of 70 min.—Spec. A-Coating bubbled and ruptured along 1" of leading edge to high speed end.

Remarks	At end of 35 min Scattered nitting along high speed end	At end of 80 min.—Spec. A - Small bubbles in coating at high speed end of leading edge. Spec. B - Small hole through coat at edge of high speed clip. At end of 88 min.—Spec. A- Coating bubbled and ruptured for 2" along leading edge to high speed end. At end of 100 min.—Spec. B- Eroded through coat and 10-15 plies in 1/8" hole at high speed end.	At end of 35 min. Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 80 min.—Spec. A-Small hole through coating 1/4" from high speed end. At end of 90 min.—Eroded through coat of Spec. A and 5-8 plies in 3/8" D hole at high speed end. At end of 100 min.—Spec.B-Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 115 min.—Spec. B-Eroded through coating and 15-20 plies along edge of high speed clip.
Total Time of Exposure	88 min.	100 min.	90 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	80 min.	80 min.	35 min. 80 min. 35 min. 100 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	35 min.	35 min.	35 min. 35 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	80	01	∞
Topcoat	Goodyear	(Spray)	Goodyear 23–56 (Spray)
Application	_	(z coats)	Brush (2 coats)
Primer	Dayton	Chem.Co. Thixon G-135	Dayton Chem.Co. Thixon G-135
Specimen No.	618 A	æ	619 A B

ID. Tests on Deicer Boot Stock

Under Air Force contract, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company is developing a new rubber stock for deicer boots for aircraft.

Four standard test specimens were received from Goodyear which were identified as follows: Specimens #603 A & B were ideauard cover stock, calendered, cured in sheet form, then cemented onto the standard glass laminate specimen. This ideauard stock ranged from .011" to .012" in thickness. Specimens #604 A & B were prepared in the same manner as Specimens #603, but, in addition, have a spray coat of a compound containing a vinyl and acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber. The spray coat is .007-.008" thick. The purpose of the spray coat was to increase the resistance to solvents which is of some concern in actual service conditions.

These specimens were evaluated at 500 mph and l"/hour rainfall with the following results. The iceguard sheet stock delaminated due to failure of the adhesive to the glass laminate on all four specimens during the first minute of testing. The sheet material was then rebonded to the test specimen using 3M-562 cement and the test continued.

At the end of ten minutes, the iceguard stock on Specimens #603 A & B was slightly abraded along the entire leading edge. At the end of 15 minutes, the sheet stock flew off specimen #603 A. Tests on Specimen #603 B were conducted and, at the end of 50 minutes, three small holes were abraded through the sheet at the high speed end. The sheet had delaminated slightly on the side; therefore, the tests were discontinued.

The vinyl coating on Specimens #604 A & B showed loss of adhesion to the iceguard sheet, after two minutes at 500 mph. This was noticed due to the formation of small bubbles 1/4" to 1/2" in diameter. Tests on Specimen #604 A were discontinued due to loss of adhesion of the neoprene sheet to the glass laminate. Specimen #604 B was continued for five minutes at which time the test was discontinued for the same reason. However, the .007" to .008" coating of vinyl had abraded through all along the leading edge after five minutes.

In spite of the difficulty with the adhesion of the deicer boot stock, the results indicate that the vinyl coating, 7 to 8 mils thick, erodes through in five minutes, and the 12 mil iceguard sheet stock erodes through in approximately 50 minutes at 500 mph and 1 m/hour rainfall.

IE. Tests on Silicone Rubber

Previous evaluation of silicone rubbers for rain erosion resistance has shown that in general, the silicone compounds were soft and lacked the required toughness. Connecticut Hard Rubber Company, however, developed a tough silicone rubber compound R-1985A. Two sets of glass reinforced test specimens #565 and #566 were submitted. A 20 mil sheet of silicone rubber was cemented to the polyester laminate with a silicone adhesive R-1107 and cured at 300°F for four hours. A soft resilient coating of approximately 30 mils in thickness was obtained. The two sets of specimens were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. The surface of the rubber began to abrade in approximately 5 minutes but after 9 to 10 minutes, the silicone coating began to fail in a manner that has never been observed on elastomeric coatings, i.e., long

cracks appeared through the rubber coating. This phenomena was attributed to the fact that under the impact of the rain drops, the soft undercoating of silicone adhesive deformed relatively large amounts causing the silicone rubber to fatigue under the rapid strains imposed. The results obtained are outlined in Table No. 13.

IF. Tests on 3M Coatings

At various times, references have been received to the effect that aircraft manufacturers on the West Coast have found that Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company's cements numbered EC-817 and EC-843 have shown excellent rain erosion resistance, in service. However, no actual data have ever been received. Previously, 24ST aluminum test specimens were prepared using zinc chromate primer to specification AN-TT-P-656 and EC-843 as a top coat, as Boeing Aircraft had requested that this material be evaluated. A total film thickness of primer and EC-843 of approximately 3 mils lasted less than two minutes at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall, which was considered unsatisfactory. Recently, Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company requested rain erosion test specimens of magnesium, 24ST aluminum and polyester glass laminates for coating with modifications of EC-843 and EC-817. Table No. 14 outlines the method of preparation of specimens as well as the primer and top coat used by 3M personnel.

Of the coated glass reinforced laminates tested, only specimens #368 A & B had satisfactory adhesion. Specimens #366 and #367 eroded in a short time but gave indications that the coating, when pigmented with aluminum, tended to be brittle and had inferior adhesion to the glass laminate.

On the metal specimens, this same trend was noticable. The 24ST aluminum specimens #371, having a non-pigmented coating, had the best rain erosion resistance and adhesion. Specimens #370 had better adhesion then specimen #369 but no noticeably greater erosion resistance. On the magnesium, there were no specimens prepared of X-34932-C non-pigmented rubber coating. Specimen #373 had better adhesion when compared to specimen #372 but no noticeably greater rain erosion resistance.

Although none of the three materials, X-34932-C (817); X-231108 + 5% aluminum pigment; and X-33038 + 5% aluminum pigment (843), were outstanding since none of the specimens lasted over 10 minutes when evaluated at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. Based upon their comparative adhesion and rain erosion resistance they can be rated in the following order:

- 1. X-34932-C (817)
- 2. X-231108 + 5% aluminum
- 3. X-33030 + 5% aluminum (843)

The results are outlined in Table No.14 and the specimens after test are shown in Figures 6, 7, and 8.

TABLE NO. 13
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Conn. Hard Rubber Company's Silicone Rubber

Remarks	At end of 5 min Fine	Scattered pitting at high	speed end. At end of 10 min Short cracks (1/8" long) through coating along leading edge. At end of 15 min Increased splitting of coating along leading edge.	At end of 5 min Coating abraded along leading edge.	At end of 10 min Small cracks through coating at high speed end of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	15 min.	15 min.		30 min.	30 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	10 min.	10 min.		10 min.	10 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	5 min.	5 min.		5 min.	5 min.
Thickness of Silicone Rubber	20 mil	20 mil		20 mil	20 mil
Topcoat		R1985A		Silicone	R1985A
Adhesive	R 11007	300°F for	4 hours	R 11007	300°F for 4 hours
Specimen No.	565 A	щ	مواجعة معالم	566 A	Д

At end of 30 min. - Coating roughened and broken in many small cracks along leading edge.

Rain Erosion of Coatings 500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall Tests on Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Neoprene Coatings TABLE NO. 14

Remarks	At end of 3 min Spec. A - Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. Spec. B - Coating flaked off high speed end of leading edge. At end of 5 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating at high speed end. At end of 6 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating and 3-5 plies for 1/2" to high speed end. Spec. B - Coating flaked off most of leading edge. Eroded through 5-7 plies at high speed and low speed ends.	At end of 2 min Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 3 min Spec. B - Coating flaked from leading edge for 1½" to high speed end. At end of 4 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating at high speed end. At end of 5 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating at high speed end. At end of 5 min Spec. A - Eroded through speed end. Spec. B - Eroded through 3-4 plies along 1/2 of leading edge to high speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	6 min.	5 min.
Time To Time To Total Tim Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	5 min.	14 min. 3 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	3 min.	2 min.
Topcoat	3M-X33030 + 5% Aluminum (EC 843 Type)	3M-X231108 + 5% Aluminum
Primer	None	None
Specimen Specimen No. Material	Fiberglas Nome 116-114 Sel. 5003	Fiberglas 1 116-114 Sel. 5003
Specimen No.	366 A B	367 A B

TABLE NO. 14 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 3 min Scattered pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 6 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating at high speed and low speed ends of leading edge and through coating at edge and through coating at edge of high speed and low speed clips. At end of 9 min Spec. B - Eroded through coating at end of 9 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating and 8-10 plies in scattered holes at high and low speed ends. Spec. B - Eroded through 2-3 plies at edge of high speed and low speed clips.	At end of 3 min Spec. A - Coating flaked off most of leading edge. Spec. B - Fine abrasion along entire leading edge. At end of 4 min Coating completely gone from leading edge of Spec. A. Spec. B - Coating flaked off leading edge at high speed and low speed ends.
Total Time Of Exposure	9 min. 9 min.	4 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	6 min. 8 min.	3 min. 4 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	3 min.	3 min.
Topcoat	3M-X34932-C (EC 817 Type)	AN-TT-P- 3M-X33030 656 + 5% Aluminum
Primer	None	AN-IT-P- 656
Specimen Specimen No. Material	Fiberglas None 116-114 Sel. 5003	24ST Alclad
Specimen No.	368 A B	369 A B

TABLE NO. 14 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 1 min No erosion. At end of 3 min Spec. A - Coating flaked off entire leading edge. Spec. B - Coating flaked off 1" of leading edge at high speed end. Coating pitted along rest of leading edge.	At end of 3 min Pitted along entire leading edge. At end of 6 min Increased pitting along leading edge with erosion through coating at high speed ends. At end of 10 min Eroded through coating for 4" of leading edge at high speed end. Heavy pitting along leading edge with scattered holes through coating.	At end of 2 min Fine abrasion along entire leading edge. At end of 3 min Coating flaked off leading edge at high speed end. At end of 5 min Coating flaked off most of leading edge.	At end of 2 min Fine abrasion along leading edge. At end of 3 min Coating flaked from 3/4 of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	3 min.	10 min. 10 min.	5 min.	3 min. 3 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	3 min.	6 min. 6 min.	3 min. 3 min.	3 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	l min.	3 min.	2 min. 2 min.	2 min. 2 min.
Topcoat	3M-X231108	AN-TT-F- 3M-X34932-C	AN-TT-P- 3M-X33030	AN-TT-P- 3M-X231108
	+ 5% Aluminum	656 (EC 817 Type)	656 + 5% Aluminum	656 + 5% Aluminum
Primer	AN-TT-P- 3	AN-TT-P-	an-tt-p-	An-TT-P-
	656	656	656	656
Specimen Specimen	24ST	24ST	FS1H	FS1 H
No. Material	Alclad	Alclad	Magnesium	Magnesium
Specimen	370 A	371 A	372 A	373 A
No.	B	B	B	B

IG. Tests on Glass Reinforced Phenolic

Two sets of rain erosion test specimens were prepared by Warnken Engineering and Manufacturing Company's personnel, using 181-114 impregnated with heat resistant CTL-91 LD, which is a phenolic resin. The specimens numbered 563 and 564 were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall.

The end of one minute exposure, at 500 mph, the resin was abraded off the leading edge and at the end of two minutes, erosion had progressed through the 1st ply of 181-114 fabric.

In general, a void free polyester laminate of 181-114 fabric will erode through the 1st ply of the fabric in one to one and one-half minutes at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. The results obtained on the Phenolic specimens are considered similar to what is expected of uncoated plastic resin - glass fabric base specimens which are considered to have very poor resistance to rain erosion. The results on phenolic type resins are outlined in Table No. 15.

TABLE NO. 15
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on CTL-91-LD Phenolic Specimens

Remarks	At end of 1 min Resin surface pitted along leading edge. At end of 2 min Eroded through 1 ply of 181-114 cloth at high speed end. At end of 5 min Eroded through 2-3 plies of 181-114 cloth along entire leading edge.	At end of 1 min Resin surface pitted along most of leading edge. At end of 2 min Eroded through 1 ply of cloth at high speed end. At end of 3 min Eroded through 1 ply of cloth along 3/4 of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	5 min. 5 min.	3 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	2 min. 2 min.	2 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	1 min. 1 min.	l min. l min.
Fabricated By	Warnken Eng. & Mfg. Co., Los Angeles, California	Warnken Eng. & Mfg. Co., Los Angeles, California
Resin	CTI-91-ID (Phenolic)	181-114 CTL-91-ID (Phenolic)
Cloth	181-181	181-114
Specimen No.	563 A B	564 A B

IH. Tests on Coast Paint & Chemical Company's System

A rain erosion resistant coating system consisting of Pro-Seal 581 primer and a two-part Pro-Seal 582 neoprene top coat with 582A accelerator was received from the Coast Paint and Chemical Company of Los Angeles.

The two sets of Fiberglas specimens #658 A & B and 659 A & B were prepared in the usual manner and given two brush coats of Pro-Seal 581 Primer with 1/2 hour air dry between coats. Eight brush coats of Pro-Seal 582, which was catalyzed in the ratio of 1 oz. of Part A accelerator per quart of cement, were applied. Each coat was allowed to air dry for 15 minutes and the coated specimens were air dried for 150 hours before testing. Examination of the coating after air drying 150 hours indicates that it was relatively soft, extensible, as compared to approved coatings, but tough material.

While the coating on specimens #658 A & B was ruptured at edge of high and low speed clamps at end of 40 minutes of testing, the rest of the leading edge of both specimens showed no sign of erosion.

Specimen #659 A withstood erosion tests for 75 minutes and specimen #659 B for 130 minutes before failing at the edges of the high and low speed clips. Although the coating on specimen #659 A was ruptured at the low speed end, at the end of 160 minutes of testing, the rest of the leading edge showed little or no sign of erosion.

On the basis of these tests on four specimens, this coating seemed promising in respect to rain erosion resistance. Therefore, for further study of this coating, specimens #662 A & B and 663 A & B were prepared by brushing on two coats of Pro-Seal 581 Primer with 1/2 hour air dry between coats. Eight brush coats of Pro-Seal 582 which was catalyzed in the ratio of four ounces per gallon of 582, part B accelerator, were applied. Each coat was allowed to air dry for 45 minutes before application of the next coat. The specimens were allowed to air dry seven days before testing. The specimens were evaluated at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall with the following results. The coatings on specimens #662 A & B and 663 A & B were ruptured at the high speed end of the specimens, at the edge of the clamp, due to flow of the soft, extensible neoprene coating. The coating bubbled slightly around the edge of the clamp, at first, and then the neoprene coating eroded through at the bubble in the range of 35 to 40 minutes. The specimens were run for a total of 75 minutes at which time the 1/8" laminate had eroded through to the blade. After the 75 minute run, the rest of the leading edge exhibited only slight signs of abrasion. These tests practically duplicate the previous results. The Pro-Seal 582 was comparable to the Gaco and Goodyear coatings in application and smoothness of finished coat. The Pro-Seal is somewhat slower drying, is so soft that it mars easily, and the film exhibits plastic flow under impact or compression loading.

It is recommended that the Pro-Seal 582 be reformulated to increase the tear strength and to make the film more resistant to plastic flow. A change of solvents to decrease the drying time is suggested.

It is believed that these changes will result in a satisfactory rain erosion resistant coating, meeting specification MIL-C-7439.

Goodyear Improved Neoprene Coatings

Six glass reinforced laminate test specimens were received from Goodyear Research Laboratory for evaluation. These specimens were prepared using two coats of Bostik 1007 as the primer. The top coats were of a specially formulated neoprene which were supposed to have greater erosion resistance than Goodyear 23-56. These specimens were given a Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory code number as follows:

C.A.L. Code No.	Goodyear Code No.
681 A	R-14L-23-370
В	R-14L-23-370
682 ▲	R-14L-23-371
В	R-14L-23-371
683 A	R-14L-23-372
В	R-14L-23-372

The specimens were then evaluated at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. The results are outlined in bar graph on page 112. In general, all the specimens possessed erosion resistance equivalent to the current Goodyear 23-56 neoprene coating. These preliminary tests indicate that R-14L-23-372 had the best erosion resistance.

Douglas Coatings

Wright Air Development Center turned over four specimens coated by Douglas personnel at their Long Beach plant, with Douglas BP-101 primer and BP-102 solvent resistant top coat. This coating was tested at Douglas and was found to be satisfactory for rain erosion resistance on their tester. The coating as reported by Douglas was basically neoprene and had good solvent resistance.

These specimens, #698 A & B and #699 A & B were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall. In general, all four specimens failed through loss of adhesion in ten to fifteen minutes. Due to impact of the rain drops, the film then elongated and because of the inelastic nature of the film, bubbles were formed. These bubbles ruptured in twenty to twenty-five minutes.

The results are shown in Table No.16 and in Figure 9.

TABLE NO. 16
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Douglas Aircraft Specimens

Remarks Coating failed through loss of adhesion with resulting bubbling along entire leading edge.	Coating failed through loss of adhesion with resulting bubbling along entire leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure 50 min. 50 min.	th min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating 25 min. 25 min.	25 min. 10 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion 25 min. 25 min.	25 min. 10 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils) 10	10
Topcoat Douglas BP-101	Douglas BP-101
Application Unknown	BP-101 Unknown
Primer BP-101	BP-101
Specimen No.	699 A B

I-I. Tests on Metal Coatings

In order to aid Piasecki Helicopter Corporation in their study on method of preventing corrosion and rain erosion on metal helicopter rotor blades and assemblies, twenty rain erosion test specimens of 1130 steel, .060" thick, were prepared and shipped to Piasecki for the application of various surface treatments - zinc plating and various organic coatings.

Investigations at Piasecki of rotor blade corrosion prevention revealed that the protection provided by zinc plate alone proved inadequate. Corrosion protection of steel propeller blades involved the use of an organic coating over the zinc plate. Therefore, Piasecki personnel prepared test panels finished with zinc plate plus an additional protective medium and subjected them to salt spray tests. Also, in order to determine the effects of the elevated temperatures employed in bonding the rotor blade trailing edge assembly to the spars, samples of each finish included specimens heated to 325°F for one hour, in addition to unheated specimens. The materials tested in salt spray for corrosion included the following:

- 1. Zinc plate
- 2. Zinc plate, plus "Anozinc"
- 3. Zinc plate, plus "Anozinc", plus B-115 clear lacquer (United Chromium, Inc.)

Best corrosion resistance was achieved by finish number 3, which withstood salt spray exposure in excess of 300 hours without exhibiting corrosion. Corrosion became evident on finish number 1 and on finish number 2 after 41 hours. There was no apparent difference between those specimens which had been previously heated to 325°F and the unheated samples.

Based upon the above results, it will be noted that the system providing the best protection against corrosion employed an organic coating in addition to the plating. However, results on rain erosion to date indicate that lacquer or enamel is of little value as a protection against erosion. Information received on aircraft equipped with steel propellers utilizing zinc plate and lacquer coatings or enamel indicates that this type of finish requires stripping, replating, and refinishing after varied lengths of service. This practice would be highly undesirable if applied to rotor blades because of their size and lack of readily available facilities required for blade refinishing.

It has been determined from rain erosion data that a finish with a rubber-like outer surface offers the greatest promise for combined erosion and corrosion protection. With this in mind, Piasecki applied surface treatments and coatings on eighteen specimens and returned them to Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc., for erosion tests. The specimens were numbered A-1, 2 through J-1, 2 inclusive, and had the following finishing systems.

Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory Specimens

620 A & B - A-1, 2	Zinc plate 1 mil thick
621 A & B - B-1, 2	Zinc plate plus black "Anozinc" plus B-115 black lacquer
622 A & B - C-1, 2	Phosphate coating, Spec. Jan-C-490 Grade 1 plus Bostik 1007, plus Gates N-700-9
623 A & B - D-1, 2	Vapor blast, plus phosphate coating, plus Bostik 1007, plus Gates N-700-9
624 A & B - E-1, 2	Phosphate coating, plus Bostik 1007, plus Goodyear 23-56
625 A & B - F-1, 2	Vapor blast, plus phosphate coating, plus Bostik 1007, plus Goodyear 23-56
626 A & B - G-1, 2	Zinc plate, plus Cronak, plus one coat of zinc chromate primer, plus two coats of silver gray blade enamel.
627 A & B - H-1, 2	Phosphate coating plus 3M-EC843, plus 3M-EC940
628 A & B - I-1, 2	Vapor blast plus phosphate coating, plus 3M-EC843, plus 3M-EC940
629 A & B - J-1, 2	Vapor blast steel surface, plus zinc plate 0.0004" to 0.0006", heat to 204°C for one hour, plus one coat phosphate treatment (Lithoform), plus one coat wash primer (MTL-C-153281), plus one coat Zinc chromate (MTL-P-6889), plus two coats black camouflage lacquer (MIL-1-6805)

The sources for the finish materials listed above are as follows:

B-115 lacquer	-	United Chromium, Inc., New York, N.Y.
Goodyear 23-56	-	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio
Gates N-700-9	-	Gates Engineering Co., Newcastle, Delaware
Bostik 1007	-	B. B. Chemical Co., Boston, Mass.
3M-EC843 and 3M-EC940	-	Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., St.
		Paul, Minnesota
Silver gray enamel	-	Marshall Paint & Varnish Co., Newark, N.J.

Rain erosion tests were carried out on the twenty specimens at 500 mph and l"/hour rainfall.

Results of Erosion Tests on Coatings for Rotor Blades

The results of the tests are outlined in Table No. 17 on page 68 and the specimens after test are shown in Figures 10 and 11.

Based upon these tests, it is apparent that lacquer or enamel coatings of normal thickness 0.5 to 1.5 mils will last from 1 to 3 minutes at 500 mph. The neoprene rubber coatings failed prematurely due to the loss of adhesion of the primer coat to the phosphate treated 4130 steel, with subsequent bubbling of the Gates N-79 and Goodyear 23-56. On aluminum and glass-reinforced laminates, these neoprene coatings in thickness of 9-10 mils will last from 70 to 100 minutes on an average unless there is loss of adhesion of the top coat to the primer or substrata.

1				:							
ROTOR BLADE COATINGS	RESULTS OF TESTS	AT END OF 30 MIN. (SPECA-2) SEVERAL SMALL HOLES THRU PLATING ALONG L.S. MALF OF L.E. AT END OF 110 MIN. (SPECA-1) SEVERAL SMALL HOLES THRU PLATING AT M.S. END OF L.E. AT END OF 130 MIN. (SPECA-1) SMALL CHIPS THRU PLATING ALONG L.E. (SPECA-2) PLATING ALONG L.E.	AT END OF 1 MIN. CLEAR LACQUER COATING ERODED FROM 1" L.E. AT M.S.E. AT END OF 20 MIN. (SPEC B-1) SEVERAL FINE CRACKS THAN BLACK AND ZINC COATING FOR 1" OF L.E. AT END OF 30 MIN. (SPEC B-2) SMALL SCATTERED PITS THAN BLACK ANDZINC COATING AT M.S.E.OFLE. AT END OF 30 MIN. (SPEC B-2) SMALL SCATTERED PITS THAN BLACK ANDZINC COATING AT M.S.E.OFLE. AT END OF 150 MIN. (SPEC B-2) SMALL WOLES THIP OF PLAYING ERODED FROM M.S.E. OF L.E. (SPEC B-2) SMALL HOLES THIP OF PLAYING SCATTERED ALONG L.E. HOTE: MLACK AMOZINC AND ZINC PLATING ARE SEEMINGLY FUSED INTO ONE COAT MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION SMORS FINAL EROSION TO BE 4-5 MILS DEEP, AND 15 ASSIMED TO BE THAN BOTH COATS	END OF 10 MIN. (SPEC C.1) PIN HOLE THRU COATING 1"FROM H.S.E. END OF 16 MIN. (SPEC C.1) 2 HOLES (1/16"D) THRU COATING H.S.E. (SPEC C.2) COATING MUSRIED AND RUPTUREN AND ALL OF L.E.	AT END OF 10 MIN. (SPEC D-1) COATING BURBLED AND RUPTURED FOR 1"OF L.E. AT M.S.E. AT END OF 12 MIN. (SPEC D-2) COATING BURBLED ALONG ENTIRE L.E. AND TORN FOR 1" TO M.S.E.	AT END OF 25 MIN. (SPEC E-1) COATING BUBBLED AND RUPTURED FOR 1" OF L.E. TO H.S.E. AT END OF 30 MIN. (SPEC E-2) COATING BUBBLED AND RUPTURED FOR 1" OF L.E. TO M.S.E.	AT END OF 28 MIN. (SPEC F-1) COATING BUBBLED AND PUNCTURED FOR 1/2" OF L.E. AT M.S.E. (SPEC F-2) COATING BUBBLED AND RUPTURED FOR 1" OF L.E. TO M.S.E. AT END OF 31 MIN. (SPEC F-1) COATING BUBBLED AND RUPTURED FOR 1" OF L.E. TO M.S.E.	AT END OF 3 MIN. TOPCOAT AND PRIMER ERODED FROM 1/3 OF L.E. TO M.S.E. AT END OF 10 MIN. TOPCOAT AND PRIMER ERODED FROM ENTIRE L.E. SMALL PIT THRU ZINC COATING AT M.S.E. OF L.E. AT END OF 600 MIN. SCATTERED PITTING THRU PATING AL MAN TOWNED.	END OF 2 MIN. MACK TOP COAT ERODED FROM ENTIRE L.E. ERODED THRU COATINGS TO BASE METAL AT N.S.E. TO L.E.	END OF Z MIN. BRACK TOP COAT ERDIED FROM ENTIRE L.E. SCATERED PITTING THRU BASE COAT AT M.S.E. OF L.E.	AT END OF 3 MIN. ALL LACQUER & PRIMER COATING ERODED OFF AFTER 20 MIN. ZINC PLATING PITTED OFF 30% OF L.E.
0F R0		2 2 2	* * * *	44	4 4	A7 69	· * *	* * * * *	14	A4 C8	F4
TESTS	COATING THICKNESS MILS	101 101	-	•	•	6 0	•	8	s.	s ė	÷.
RAIN EROSION	TOPCDAT	ном Е	B-115 LACQUER UNITED CHROWIUM INC.	GATES ENG. CO. H-79	6ATES ENG. CO. N-79	Goodfar 23.56	GOODYEAR	MARSHALL PAINT & VAR. CO. SILVER-GRAY BLADE ERAMEL	M. 076-03	076-53	MACK LACOUER
	PRIMER	NORE	NONE	BOSTIK 1007	MOSTIK 1007	BOSTIK 1007	BOSTIK 1007	ZINC CHROMATE (MIL-P-6889A)	M EC- 943	EC- 843	ZINC CHROMATE
	SURFACE TREATMENT AND/OR PLATING	ZING PLATING (.001°)	ZINC PLATING (.001") NEACK ANOZINC (UNITED CHRONIUM, INC.)	PHOSPHATE JAN.C. 490 GRADE I	EAPOR BLAST PHOSPMATE	TREATHENY	VAPOR BLAST PHOSPHATE TREATMENT	ZINC PLATING (.001") CROMAK TREATMENT	PHOSPHATE TREATMENT	PHOSPHATE	VAGOR BLAST PROSPIATE PLUS ZINC PLATING 0.0004 TO 0.0006
	SPECIMEN	620 A & 8	621 A & B B1, B2	622 4 8 9 c1.c.2	01.0.2 624 A B B	£1, £. 2	625 A & W	626 A & B	627 A B B H1. H2	11. 12	9 4 4 6Z9

The .001" of zinc plating will start to pit in the range of one to two hours and erosion of the zinc coating will increase up to about 10 hours. Specimens #626 A & B (G-1,2), having zinc plating and Cronak treatment plus zinc chromate and enamel, lasted for ten hours. It is questionable what caused the increase baking of the enamel at 250°F for 40 minutes.

The 3M coating system of EC-843 primer and EC-940 lasted for two minutes at 500 mph and lm/hour rainfall.

On Specimens #629 A & B, which were received at a later date, the following results were obtained. At the end of the first minute of testing, the black lacquer had eroded off the entire leading edge to the primer. At the end of three minutes of testing, the zinc chromate and wash primer were removed from the leading edge. At the end of ten minutes testing the zinc plate showed signs of pitting off in areas fifty mils in diameter. This erosion progressed until the zinc plating was stripped off the entire leading edge at the end of twenty minutes. Figure 12 shows the specimens after twenty minutes of testing.

I_J. Fillers for Glass Laminates

For some time there has been a need for satisfactory fillers, putty, or similar material for filling in small pits, depressions, or minor damage caused by the rain erosion of the surface of glass reinforced polyester parts. There are numerous fillers on the market but they all have one or more unsatisfactory properties. In general, the oleo-resinous materials have good adhesion, outdoor durability, and relatively low shrinkage; however, they require twenty-four or more hours to dry. The lacquer type (nitrocellulose) dry hard for sanding in eight hours but have only fair adhesion to polyesters, are difficult to apply smoothly, and usually have a great deal of shrinkage and a relatively short outdoor life.

Two materials were examined: one, the oleo-resinous type, Tuf-On P-24 filler from Brooklyn Varnish Mfg. Company, Brooklyn, N. Y.; the second, Pyrox Putty, a lacquer type from the Avondale Company, Northfield, Illinois.

Two panels of glass reinforced polyester laminate were sanded to remove the glossy resin surface. A wet, ten mil film of each of the two materials noted above were put on the panels, using a doctor blade. A comparison of the two materials is shown in Table No. 18.

TABLE NO. 18

Comparison of Fillers for Glass Laminates

Material	Tuf-On P-24	Pyrox Putty
Application characteristics	Easy to apply and smooth	Difficult to apply skins over fast

Tack free time	4 hours	30 minutes
Time to become hard enough to sand	10 hours	4 hours
Time to become hard through 10 mil film	30 hours	10 hours
Shrinkage	12%	20%
Adhesion to laminate	Good	Fair
Lifting after brush application of Bostik 1007	No listing after 30 hrs.drying	No lifting after 10 hrs. drying

This investigation bears out past experience with these fillers and again shows the need for the development of a filler with the best properties of each type material.

Determination of Variables Influencing The Erosion of Materials

IIA. Effect of Anti-icing Solution on Neoprene Coating

Numerous inquiries have been received regarding the effect of anti-icing solutions on the rain erosion resistance of neoprene coatings; therefore, preliminary tests were carried out on this aspect of the problem.

Specimens #601 and 602 were sanded and coated with a brush coat of Bostik 1007 primer. Specimens #601 was then coated with Goodyear 23-56 and Specimens #602 were coated with Gates N-79, so as to give a total film thickness of approximately ten mils. These specimens were then allowed to air dry for five days. The specimens were then immersed for twenty-four hours in the following anti-icing solution, as suggested by Lockheed Corporation's engineers, to simulate severe service test.

Ethylene Glycol C. P. - 3 gallons
Water - 2 gallons
Aerosol "OT" (Wetting Agent) - 20 grams

After removal from this solution, the specimens were wiped dry and visually examined for signs of swelling or other deterioration. Within one-half hour of removal from the solution, the coatings were tested for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall.

Visual examination of the coatings after twenty-four hours immersion showed some lightening in the color of the film, no swelling and no apparent softening when tested with the finger nail.

In general, neither the Goodyear 23-56 or Gates N-79 showed any decline in rain erosion resistance.

Based upon these tests and observations, it can be stated that under service conditions no difficulty should be experienced with parts covered with Gates N-79 or Goodyear 23-56 neoprene that are subject to anti-icing solutions of the type outlined above.

The results obtained are shown in Table No. 19 and in bar graph on page 113.

500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall Effect of Deicing Solution on Rain Erosion Resistance of Neoprene Coatings TABLE NO. 19 Rain Erosion of Plastics

	Remarks	At end of 25 min Spec. A-	<pre>coating innely abraded along leading edge. Light pitting at high speed end of leading</pre>
Total Time Of	Exposure	60 min.	100 min.
	Erosion Coating	50 min.	80 min.
Time To Initiate	Frosion	25 min.	25 min.
	Treatment	Immersed In 25 min. 50 min.	Solution For 24 Hrs.
Drying	Schedule	Air Dry 200 Hrs.	
	Topcoat	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23-56	(Brush)
E	Frimer	Bostik 1007	(Brush)
Specimen	S	601 A	ф

72

in 4 small holes at high speed At end of 100 min. - Spec. B-Eroded through several plies

At end of 60 min. - Spec. A - Eroded through coat and 3-5 plies for 1" of leading edge

At end of 50 min. - Spec. A Small hole through coating

speed end of leading edge. edge. Spec. B - Light, scattered pitting at high

to high speed end.
At end of 80 min. - Spec. B.

Small hole through coat at

high speed end.

edge. Through 8-10 plies for

1/4" of leading edge to high

speed end.

and low speed end of leading

TABLE NO. 19 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 30 min Light pitting along high speed end	n. of leading edge. At end of 70 min Eroded	through coating in small hole at high speed end of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	100 mil	140 min.	
Time To Time To Total Time Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	70 min.	70 min.	
Time To Initiate Erosion		30 min.	
Treatment	Immersed	Solution for 24 Hrs.	
Drying Schedule		ara.	
Topcoat	Gaco Gaco	1007 N=79 (Brush) (Brush)	
ı Primer	Bostik (1007 (Brush)	
Specimen No.	602 A	щ	

leading edge to high speed end.

Eroded through 10-12 plies
for 1/4" of leading edge to
high speed end.

At end of 140 min. - Spec. BSmall bubbles along 1" of
leading edge to high speed
end. Two holes 1/8" D through
10-15 plies at high speed end.

At end of 100 min. - Spec. A Coating bubbled for 1" of

IIB. Effect of Elevated Temperatures on Coatings

The effect of heat on the erosion resistance of neoprene coatings Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56 has been reported under the following conditions:

- 1. 180°F for 72 hours
- 2. 225°F for 72 hours
- 3. 300°F for 20 hours

The results of these tests indicate that heating for periods up to 72 hours at 180°F does not materially effect the erosion resistance. Heating for 72 hours at 225°F does effect the coating to some degree. In general, however, the presently approved coatings can be considered satisfactory after exposure to temperatures up to 200°F for periods in the range of 100 hours. As the temperature increases to 300°F, exposure for even shorter periods shows that these coatings are definitely unsatisfactory for rain erosion resistance.

However, under specification MIL-C-7439 (USAF) tests at 200°F for 20 hours are required. In addition, it was requested that tests be carried out on specimens coated with Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56 exposed to 400°F for 10 minutes. Specimens were also to be tested by placing the coated laminate test specimens in the oven at 400°F and then holding them for an additional 10 minutes at 400°F.

The following specimens coated with Gaco N-79 and Goodyear 23-56 neoprene using the new Bostik primer 4764-27 were prepared.

Specimen No.	<u>Material</u>	Condition
547 A & B 548 A & B	Gaco N-79 Gaco N-79	Air dry 120 hrs. Bake 20 hrs. at 200°F
545 A & B 546 A & B	Goodyear 23-56 Goodyear 23-56	Air dry 120 hrs. Bake 20 hrs. at 200°F
557 A & B 558 A & B	Gaco N-79 Gaco N-79	Air dry 120 hrs. Bake at 400°F for 10 min. Air dry 120 hrs. Bake at 400°F to equilibrium + 10 min.
559 A & B 560 A & B	Goodyear 23-56 Goodyear 23-56	Air dry 120 hrs. Bake at 400°F for 10 min. Air dry 120 hrs. Bake at 400°F to equilibrium + 10 min.

After exposure at 200°F for 20 hours and then tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall, both Goodyear 23-56 and Gates N-79 specimens #545, 546, 547, and 548 showed

no apparent decrease in resistance to rain erosion and were considered satisfactory. The results obtained are shown in bar graphs on page 114 and 115 and in Tables No. 20 and 21.

Specimen #557 of Gaco N-79 and #559 of Goodyear 23-56 were allowed to air dry at room temperature for 5 days, than put in an oven held 400°F for 10 minutes.

The neoprene coating on all four specimens blistered very badly. Therefore, no further tests were carried out. Specimen #558 and #560 were air dried for 120 hours at room temperature, and then baked at 125°F for 12 hours to make sure all the residual solvent is removed from the neoprene coatings. Specimens #576 of Gaco N-79 and #579 of Goodyear 23-56 were prepared using Bostik 1007 primer and allowed to air dry for 100 hours. These specimens were then heated for 4 hours at 175°F to remove all the residual solvent. Specimens #558, 560, 576, and 579 were then put in a circulating air oven at 400°F for ten minutes. Upon removal of the specimens from the oven, specimens #558 and 560 showed slight blistering and #576 and 579 showed no blistering.

The four sets of specimens were then tested for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and l"/hr. rainfall. In testing, all the specimens failed primarily due to loss of adhesion of the neoprene coating to the glass laminate which caused bubbling along the leading edge and tearing loose of the coating along the sides of the specimen. Microscopic examination of the specimens indicated that Bostik primers 4754-27 and 1007 had probably lost their adherence to the glass laminate during the heating process. The neoprene coatings, however, appeared to have been made tougher by the curing at 175° and 400°F. The results are shown in Table No. 21 and in bar graph on page 116.

Further studies on the effect of heat treatment upon the rain erosion resistance of Gaco and Goodyear neoprene coatings at lower temperatures were carried out, under the conditions outlined below.

Specimen No.	Material	Condition
580 A & B 577 A & B	Goodyear 23-56 Gaco N-79	300°F for 10 min.
581 A & B 578 A & B	Goodyear 23-56 Gaco N-79	375°F for 10 min.
416 В 418 В	Goodyear 23-56	400°F for 10 min.

Specimens #580 A & B and 581 A & B were coated with Bostik 1007 and Goodyear 23-56. Specimens #577 and 578 were coated with Bostik 1007 and Gaco N-79 at the same time and in the same manner as the preceding specimens. The four sets of specimens were air dried for 100 hours, baked for 4 hours at 175°F and then heated for 10 minutes at the temperature indicated above. The coating on the four sets of specimens was harder and darker in color after this heat treatment, but showed no signs of blistering.

Specimens #416 B and 418 B were brush coated by Goodyear personnel and returned to C.A.L. for testing. These two specimens were conditioned by heating at 175 F for

4 hours and then 400°F for 10 minutes. Although this coating changed from an olive color to almost black when heated at 400°F, no blistering was noticeable. All the above specimens were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. Specimens \$416 B and \$418 B, heat treated at 400°F, both failed through loss of adhesion. Specimen \$416 B had most of the coating stripped off as an integral sheet at the end of 20 minutes testing. Specimen \$418 B developed a 1/2" diameter bubble at the high speed end of the leading edge after 40 minutes of testing.

The coatings on the other 4 sets of specimens, heated at 300°F and 375°F all failed in 30 minutes of testing. Failure of all these heat treated specimens can be attributed, mainly to loss of adhesion of neoprene coating to the fiberglas laminate.

In general, the neoprene coatings appeared tougher, than normal, when cured at 175°F for 4 hours, and then at 300°F, 375°F, or 400°F for 10 minutes. Based upon the results and observations of the specimens used in these tests, it is believed that if a satisfactory adhesion system can be obtained between the neoprene and the glass reinforced laminate, the Goodyear 23-56 and Gaco N-79 coating should have satisfactory rain erosion resistance when exposed to an upper limit of 375°F for 10 minutes. Results of tests on heat treated neoprene coatings are shown in Table No. 22.

Four glass-reinforced erosion test specimens coated with heat resistant coating R-14L-27-86 were received from Goodyear Research Laboratory. This coating was formulated under Contract AF 18(600)110 which has as its objective the development of a coating that is rain erosion resistant and one that will withstand 500°F for thirty minutes. This Contract is also administered and monitored by the Materials Laboratory, Directorate of Research, Wright Air Development Center.

The samples were prepared by Goodyear personnel as follows: The specimens were sanded and given two brush coats of Bostik 1007 and 10-11 coats of R-1\(\text{L}-27-86\) to give a total thickness of 10 mils. Considerable time was allowed between application of the R-1\(\text{L}-27-86\) coating and before curing in a circulating hot air oven at 310°F for one hour.

Specimens prepared at Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory numbered 636 A & B and 637 A & B were sanded and given two brush coats of Bostik 1007 and allowed to air dry one hour before application of 8 brush coats of R-ll₄L-27-86. Upon application of the first coat, the solvent in the R-ll₄L-27-86 tended to wash off the Bostik 1007, if brushed too much. Extreme care had to be used to prevent the R-ll₄L-27-86 coating from sagging since the solvents evaporated so slowly. The drying schedule was forty-five to fifty minutes between coats and overnight drying at room temperature, before baking one hour at 310°F.

Specimens #634 through 637 were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. The results are outlined in Table No. 23 on page 85 through 89 and in bar graph on page 117. Specimens #634 and 635 prepared by Goodyear are shown in Figure 13, after testing.

Analysis of the data obtained indicates that a 8-9 mil film of Goodyear R-lhL-27-86 will resist erosion at 500 mph and lm/hour rainfall for approximately 15 to 20 minutes when cured for 1 hour at 360°F. This is approximately one-quarter to one-third the average life of Goodyear 23-56 or Gates N-79.

Four more glass-reinforced erosion test specimens, fabricated from 116-114 glass cloth and Selectron 5003 resin, were sanded with #320 "wet or dry" paper, washed with toluol, and given two brush coats of Bostik 1007 and ten brush coats of Goodyear R-14L-27-86 Lactoprene Coating, for a total thickness of 10 mils. One-half hour air dry was allowed between coats, and specimens were air dried for 100 hours, to allow solvent escape before further treatment. Both sets of coated specimens were then cured for one hour at 310°F. Specimens #656 A & B were given an additional heat treatment for 1/2 hour at 500°F. After this cure period, the glass laminate specimens were charred and the coatings were almost completely covered with small blisters, approximately 20 mils in diameter. Specimens #657 A & B were not exposed to the 500°F heat treatment but were exposed for one week to a 95-98% relative humidity in a desicator, then immediately tested for rain erosion resistance. Specimens #656 and 657 were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. The results are outlined in Table No. 23.

During the 500°F cure of the R-14L-27-86 coating on Specimens #656 A & B, a gas was apparently released resulting in blisters of the lactoprene coating. The blistered coating was eroded off the leading edge of the laminate in 1/2 minute during the rain erosion test. The Goodyear Company was informed of the result, and arrangements have been made to get a high temperature resistant resin, which will be used in place of Selectron 5003 in fabricating the laminate specimens. These specimens will be coated with Goodyear R-14L-27-86, cured according to the same schedule and tested for rain erosion resistance.

The prolonged exposure of specimens #657 A & B to 95-98% relative humidity caused the coatings to soften, lose adhesion, and most of their rain erosion resistance. The specimens resisted rain erosion for only $5\frac{1}{2}$ minutes before they bubbled and became badly pitted. Further heat resistant coatings developed from Lactoprene EV compounds by Goodyear Research Laboratory were applied to laminates of 116-114 impregnated with Selectron 5003 and Laminac PDL-7-669, by Goodyear personnel, as noted below. Bostik 1007 primer was used on all laminates.

A contract of the contract of					
689 A & B	-	R141-27-126	694 A & B	-	R11,1-27-11,2
690 A & B		R14L-27-127	695 A & B	-	R14L-27-142
691 A & B	-	R14L-27-127	696 A & B	- `	R14L-27-148
692 A & B		R14L-27-128	697 A & B	-	R14L-27-148
693 A & B	-	P1).t_27_128			•

The Selectron impregnated specimens were evaluated at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. Since most of these specimens failed due to loss of adhesion of the top coat, the Laminac PDL-7-669 impregnated specimens were not heated to 500°F for one-half hour, but tested as received. These specimens also failed rapidly primarily due to loss in adhesion. The detailed results of the tests are outlined in Table No. 24. The specimens were returned to Goodyear for their examination.

Further heat resistant materials studied by Goodyear included Teflon. In this study, Goodyear Research Laboratory personnel prepared six aluminum specimens coated with Teflon. A primer was sprayed on the aluminum and then a number of coats of Teflon emulsion paint, with fusing of each coat in an oven. The specimens were sent to Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory and were tested as follows: Specimens #684 A & B were evaluated for rain erosion resistance at 500 mph and 1"/hr. rainfall. Specimens #685 A & B were exposed outdoors for 3 months. Specimens #686 A & B are being exposed for one year.

Specimens #684 A & B had films of approximately ten mils which eroded from the high speed end in one minute and from the entire leading edge in two minutes. Specimens #685 A & B, after three months outdoor exposure, upon visual and macroscopic examination showed no signs of deterioration. After one minute, specimens #685 A & B had the film eroded off the high speed end similar to specimen #684. After one minute and three quarters, the film was eroded off four-fifths of the leading edge.

TABLE NO. 20
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Effect Heat on Gaco N-79

			Time To	Time To	Total Time	
Specimen		Drying	Initiate	Erode Thru	ğ	
No.	Topcoat	Schedule	Erosion	Coating	Exposure	Remarks
547 A	Gaco N-79	Bake for 20 hrs. at	45 min.	70 min.	80 min.	At end of 45 min Scattered pitting at high speed end.
m _.	-	200°F	45 min.	% min.	90 min.	At end of 80 min Spec. A - Two holes 3/32" D through coating and 5-10 plies of leading edge at high speed end. At end of 70 min Spec. A - Two small holes through coating at high speed end. At end of 90 min Spec. B - Heavy pitting of coating along leading edge. One pin hole through coating at high speed end.
548 A	Gaco N-79 Bake for 20 hrs.	Bake for	50 min.	90 min.	90 min.	At end of 50 min Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge.
M		at 200°F	50 min.	70 min.	80 min.	At end of 70 min Spec. B - Pitted through coating in small hole 4" from high speed end. At end of 80 min Spec. B - 1/8" D hole through 8-10 plies 4" from high

speed end.

At end of 90 min. - Spec. A - Heavy pitting along most of leading edge.

Small hole through coating and 2-3 plies at high speed end.

_
(CONT.)
<u>ನ</u>
Š
TABLE

Remarks	At end of 30 min Spec. A - Coating nitted in natched area 14 from low	speed end. At end of 50 min Spec. A - Eroded through coating and I ply of patched area. At end of 55 min Spec. B - Light scattered pitting along leading edge. At end of 60 min Spec. A - Eroded through 10-15 plies in 4 D hole in patched area. At end of 100 min Spec. B - Scattered pitting through coating at high speed	At end of 55 min Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 90 min Spec. A - Small bubble at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 100 min Spec. A - 1/8" D hole eroded through bubbled area and 5-10 plies at high speed end. Spec. B - Heavy, scattered pitting through coating at high speed end of leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	60 min.*	100 min.	100 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	50 min.*	100 min.	90 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	30 min.*	55 min.	55 min. 55 min.
Drying Schedule	Bake for	at 2000F	Bake for 20 hrs. at 200°F
Topcoat	Goodyear		Goodyear 23–56
Specimen No.	SUS A	m	546 A B

Small area on leading edge of Specimen 545 A was damaged before coating was dry, and erosion was concentrated in this area. ì

TABLE NO. 21.
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings

ļ	ight nigh bec. A- ore beci- tting	oat- nigh edge. gh
Remarks	At end of 15 min Light scattered pitting at high speed end. At end of 35 min Spec. A-1/16" D hole through coating, at high speed end. Coating bubbled and tore loose along side of specimen at high speed end. Spec. B - Scattered pitting along entire leading edge.	At end of 30 min Coating finely pitted at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 110 min Several small holes through coating at high
Total Time Of Exposure	35 min. 35 min.	110 min.
Time To Time To Tritiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	35 min.	110 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	15 min. 15 min.	30 min. 30 min.
Drying Schedule	Air dry 400 hr. 15 min. 35 min. Bake: 4 hrs1750F 10 min400°F	Air dry 400 hr. 30 min. 110 min. Bake: 4 hrs175°F 30 min. 110 min. 10 min400°F
Thickness Coating (Mils)	۵ ۵	00
Primer Topcoat	Gaco N-79	Bostik Goodyear 4764-27 23-56
Primer	Bostik Gaco 4764-27 N-79	Bostik 4764–27
Specimen No.	558 A B	560 A B

speed end. Coating tore loose along sides of both specimens.

TABLE NO. 21 (CONT.)

Demonto	At end of 10 min.—Spec. B- Eroded through coating at edge of low speed clip. At end of 20 min.—Spec. A- Fine pitting of leading edge at high speed end. Spec. B - Fine pitting along 3/4 of leading edge. Coating loosened and rolled back at low speed end of leading edge. At end of 30 min.—Spec. A- Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 40 min.—Spec. A- Fitted along entire lead- ing edge. 1/16" D hole through 2-3 plies at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 10 min.—Spec. A-Coating bubbled for 1" of leading edge at low speed end At end of 20 min.—Spec. B-Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 30 min.—Spec. B-Eroded through 10-15 plies at edge of high speed clip. Coating bubbled for 1" of leading edge at low speed
Total Time Of Exposure	40 min. 20 min.	10 min. 30 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	30 min.	10 min. 20 min.
Time To Initiate	20 min.	10 min. 20 min.
Drying Schedule	Air Dry 100 Hr. Bake: U Hrs175°F 10 min400°F	Air Dry 100 Hr. Bake: 4 Hrs1750F. 10 min400 ⁰ F.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	7. 7. 7.	W W
Topcoat		Goodyear 23–56
ı Primer	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007
Specimen No.	576 A B	579 A B

end.

TABLE NO. 22
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Heat Treated Neoprene Coatings

Remarks	At end of 20 min Loss of adhesion - coating stripped from most of specimen.	At end of 20 min Few small pits at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 40 min 1/2" D bubble at high speed end.	At end of 20 min Light pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 30 min Spec. A - Goating bubbled for 1" of leading edge at high speed end. Spec. B - Eroded through coat at edge of high speed clip. At end of 40 min Spec. B - Goating bubbled for 1" of leading edge to high speed end.	At end of 20 min Scattered pitting at high speed end. At end of 30 min Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 40 min Spec. A - Eroded through 15-20 plies at edge of high speed clip. Spec. B - Bubbled at high speed clip. Spec. B - Bubbled at high speed end and 1/4" D hole through 8-10 plies at high speed end.
Total Time Of Exposure	20 min.	•uin Op	30 min. 40 min.	40 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	20 min.	40 min.	30 min.	30 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	20 min.	20 min.	20 min.	20 min.
Heat Treatment	ં તં	Bake 175°F for 4 hours. Bake 400°F for 10 min.	Air Dry 100 hrs. Bake 175°F for 4 hours Bake 300°F for 10 min.	Air Dry 100 hrs. Bake 175°F for 4 hours. Bake 300°F for 10 min.
Total most	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23-56	Goodyear 23–56	Gaco N-79 (8 oz/gal N-300-9)	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23–56
a de	Bostik 1007	Bostik (Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007
Specimen	No.	118 B	577 A B	580 A B

1	ring rough end.	ing rough clip. clip.
Remarks	At end of 20 min Light pitting along 1/2 of leading edge to high speed end. At end of 30 min Eroded through coating at edge of high speed end. At end of 40 min Spec. A - Eroded through 10-15 plies 1/4" to high speed end.	At end of 20 min Fine pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 30 min Eroded through coating at edge of high speed clip. At end of 45 min Eroded through 15-20 plies at high speed end of
Total Time Of Exposure	to min. to min.	45 min. 45 min.
Time To Time To Total Tim Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	30 min. 30 min.	30 min. 30 min.
Time To Initiate E Erosion	20 min. 20 min.	20 min. 20 min.
Primer Topcoat Heat Treatment	Bostik Gaco N-79 Air Dry 100 Hrs. 20 min. 30 min. 1007 8 oz/gal Bake 175°F for N-300-9 4 hours. Bake 20 min. 30 min. 375°F for 10 min.	Air Dry 100 Hrs. 20 min. 30 min. Bake 175°F for 4 hours. Bake 20 min. 30 min. 375°F for 10 min.
Topcoat	Gaco N-79 8 oz/gal N-300-9	Bostik Goodyear 1007 23—56
	Bostik 1007	Bostik 1007
Specimen No.	578 A B	581 A B

leading edge.

TABLE NO. 23
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Tests on Goodyear RlUL-27-86 Lactoprene Coating

Remarks	At end of 10 min Fine pitting along leading edge. At end of 20 min Small bubbles at high speed end of leading edge. Small
Thickness Time To Time To Total Time Coating Initiate Erode Thru Of (Mils) Erosion Coating Exposure	22 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	10 min. 20 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	10 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	10
Drying Schedule	Goodyear No air dry-Rlul-27-86 ing schedule given. Final cure 310°F for 1 hour.
Topcoat	Goodyear R141–27–86
Primer	
Specimen Coated No. By	Goodyear Bostik 1007
Specimen No.	634 А

10 min. 15 min. 17 min. At end of 10 min. Fine pitting along

bubble at low speed end of leading edge. At end of 15 min.-Bubbles ruptured at

leading edge. Small

high speed end.
At end of 22 min
1/4" D holes

plies at high speed end of leading edge.

through 2-3

coating 1" from

hole through

speed end of leading

high speed and low

edge.
At end of 17 min.Eroded through 5-8
plies in 1/2" D

holes at high and low speed end of leading edge.

634 B

TABLE NO. 23 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 6 minFine pitting at high speed end of leading edge. At end of 10 minEroded through coating at edge of low speed clip. At end of 20 minSevere erosion at low speed end of leading edge. 1/4" D hole through 3-5 plies at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 6 min Small bubble 1
Total Time Of Exposure	20 min.	15 min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	10 min.	10 min.
	6 min.	6 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	م	
Drying Söbedule	No air dry- ing schedule given. Final cure 310°F for 1 hour	
Topcoat	Goodyear R14L-27-86	
Primer	Bostik 1007	
Coated By	Goodyear Bostik	
Specimen Coated No. By	635 A	635 B
R - 53 - 185	86	

Fine pitting at high speed end.
At end of 10 min.-Bubble at low speed

from low speed end.

end ruptured pitted along entire

plies in 1/2" holes in bubbled areas.

leading edge and bubbled in center of leading edge.

At end of 15 min.—

Eroded through 8-10

TABLE NO. 23 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 10 min.—Coating pitted along leading edge. At end of 15 min.—Eroded through coating in several small holes at high speed end and at low speed end. At end of 16 min.— 1/2" hole through 3-5 plies at low speed and at high speed and at	At end of 10 min.—Scattered pitting along high speed end of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	16 min.	16 min.
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	10 min. 15 min.	10 min. 13 min.
a. 1	10 min.	10 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	10	
Drying Schedule	Goodyear Air Dry 45- R141_27-86 50 min. be- Brush-8 tween coats coats Final cure at 310°F for 1 hour	
Topcoat	Goodyear R141-27-86 Brush-8 coats	
Priner	Bostik 1007 Brush-2 coats	
Specimen Coated No. By	C.A.L.	
Specimer No.	637 A	637 в

edge.

At end of 13 min.Coating pitted along
entire leading edge.
Small hole through
coat at high speed
end.

At end of 16 min.1/2" D hole through
2-3 plies at high
speed end of leading edge.

TABLE NO. 23 (CONT.)

Remarks	At end of 10 min.—Scattered pitting along leading edge. At end of 15 min.—Pitted along entire leading edge — 2 small holes through coating at high speed end. At end of 16 min.—I/8" D hole through 3-4 plies at high speed end of leading edge.	At end of 10 min Coating pitted at high speed end, of leading
Total Time Of Exposure	16 min.	16 min.
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	10 min. 15 min.	10 min. 13 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	10 min.	10 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	ot .	
Drying Schedule	Air Dry 45- 36 50 min. be- tween coats Final cure at 310 F for 1 hour	
Primer Topcoat	Goodyear Bluz-27-(Brush-8 coats	
Primer	Bostik 1007 Brush-2 coats	
Coated By	G.A.I.	
Specimen Coated No. By	€36 ▲	636 в

edge.
At end of 13 min.Pitted along
entire leading edge.
Small bubble 1"
from high speed end.
At end of 16 min.
µ holes 1/8" D
through 2-3 plies at
high speed end of
leading edge.

TABLE NO. 23 (CONT.)

Remarks	Before test - Leading edges of both specimens covered with small blisters At end of 30 sec. Blisters ruptured and coating eroded off entire lead- ing edge.	Before test - Coating very soft and pliable. At end of 5 min Spec. A - Leading edge abraded mostly at high speed ends. Spec.B- 1" hole at high
Time To Time To Total Time Initiate Erode Thru Of Erosion Coating Exposure	ds 30 sec.	5½ min. 5½ min.
Time To Time To Initiate Erode Thru Erosion Coating	Less than Less than 30 sec.	53 min. 53 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	Less tha 30 secon	5 min. 5 min.
Thickness Time To Coating Initiate (Mils) Erosion	01	01
Drying Schedule	Goodyear Cure: 1 hr. Rihir-27-86 at 310°F (Brush-10 1/2 hr. at coats) 500°F	Goodyear Cure: 1 hr. R14L-27-86 at 310°F (Brush-10 conditioned coats) for 7 days at 95-98% relative humidity, then tested immediately.
Topcoat	Goodyear RiliL-27-86 (Brush-10 coats)	Goodyear R14L-27-86 (Brush-10 coats)
Primer	Bostik 1007	
Coated By	G.A.L.	C.A.L. Bostik 1007
Specimen Coated No. By	656 A B	657 A B

speed end.

At end of 5½ min.Spec. A - 2 pln
holes at high
speed end. Coating
on outer half of
leading edge lifted
from laminate. Spec.BCoating on outer half
of leading edge

TABLE NO. 24
Rain Erosion of Coatings
500 M.P.H. - 1"/Hr. Rainfall
Goodyear Lactoprene EV Compounds

Remarks	Coating bubbled and	ruptured at high speed end.	Eroded through coating	and several plies in scattered holes along leading edge.	Coating bubbled and	ruptured along leading edge.	Eroded through coating	and several plies in $1/2$ " D hole at high	speed end. Coating bubbled and ruptured at low speed end of leading edge.	Eroded through coating	and several plies in 1/2" D hole at high speed end.	Coating bubbled and	ruptured for 1/2 of leading edge to high speed end. Coating bubbled and ruptured along entire leading edge.
Total Time Of Exposure	2½ min.	2½ min.	12 min.	16 min.	10 min.	6 min.	11 min.	11 mdn.		3 min.	9 min.	3 min.	3½ min.
Time To Erode Thru Coating	l min.	2 min.	10 min.	12 min.	8 min.	5 min.	9 min.	9 min.		2 min.	8 min.	2 min.	3 min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	l min.	1 min.	8 min.	lo min.	6 min.	3 min.	6 min.	6 min.		2 min.	4 min.	2 min.	2 min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	8.0	7.5	10.0	0.6	9•5	0.6	10.0	10.0		0.6		0.6	
Popcoat	R141-27-	777	R141-27-	777	R141-27-	151	R141-27-			R141-27-	0	R11/15-27-	
Laminate Resin	Bostik PDL 7-669		Selectron RldL-27-		PDL 7-669		Selectron	5003		PDL 7-669		Selectron 1	
Primer	Bostik		=		=		=			F.		ε Ω	
Goodyear Specimen No.	m	7	᠘	9	7	æ	6	10		Ħ	12	13	1
C.A.L. Specimen	689 A	ф	690 A	Ф	691 A	ф	692 A	Д		693 A	ф	694 д	ф

TABLE NO. 24 (CONT.)

Remarks	Coating bubbled and ruptured along most of	leading edge.	Coating bubbled and motured at high speed	end of leading edge.	Coating bubbled and ruptured at high speed end of leading edge.	
Total Time of Exposure	2 min.	2 min.	9 min.	3 min.	l min.	5 min.
Thickness Time To Time To Coating Initiate Erode Thru (Mils) Erosion Coating	l min.	l min.	9 min.	2 min.	3 min.	l min.
Time To Initiate Erosion	l min. l min.	l min.	6 min.	2 min.	1 min.	h min.
Thickness Coating (Mils)	0°6		0.6		10.5	10.0
Topcoat	59 RIUL-27- 9.0	7	R141-27-	o n T	59 Rilin-27- 10.5	opt
Laminate Resin	Bostik PDL 7-669		Selectron Rilli-27- 9.0	5003	PDL 7-669	
Primer	Bostik	7007	=			
Goodyear Specimen No.		16	27	22	ຊ	
C.A.L.	695 A	α	696 A	щ	697 A	

Section III

Studies on Mechanism of Rain Erosion

IIIA. Influence of Hardness on Erosion Resistance

Six rain erosion test specimens were fabricated from a substitute for 4130 steel, known as 8630. The specimens were processed as described below to achieve different hardnesses. It was believed that an investigation of the influence of hardness on the erosion resistance of a specific material might give further indication as to the nature of the erosion.

To prevent the steel surface from oxidation and decarburization during heat treatment, a copper plating approximately 0.002 inch in thickness was applied to five of the specimens.

TABLE NO. 25
8630 Steel Rain Erosion Specimens

Specimen No.	Method of Heat Treatment	State After Heat Treatment	Rockwell C Hardness
605	Annealed at 1550°F for 15 minutes - Cooled in Silicel	Annealed Tensile 80,000 psi	13
606	Normalized at 1550°F for 15 minutes - air cooled	Normalized Tensile 110,000 psi	20
607	Heated to 1550°F for 30 minutes - oil quenched. Tempered for 60 minutes at 850°F	Hardened Tensile 156,000 psi	33
608	Heated to 1550°F for 30 minutes - oil quenched. Tempered for 60 minutes at 800°F	Hardened Tensile 180,000 psi	39
609	Not copper plated. Surface hardened to .010° by carburizing in liquid bath at 1650°F for 60 minutes oil quenched.	Hardened surface	64
610	Normalized at 1550°F for 15 minutes - air cooled	Shotpeened	Surface work hardned - but not measurable
WADC-TR-53-185	92	4	

After heat treatment, the copper coating was removed from the steel. Electrolytic stripping was carried out in an aqueous solution of sodium cyanide and sodium hydroxide at 6 volts and 15 amps. With the copper plated specimen as the anode. Because the removal of copper was rather slow and non-uniform by this method, it was abandoned in favor of chemical stripping with a concentrated chromic acid solution acidified with sulfuric acid.

Since it has been reported (reference 16) that metals that have been shotpeened have greater fatigue life, it was believed desirable to include such a specimen in this series to determine if this process had any influence on rain erosion which is thought to be an impact fatigue phenomena. The specimen was shotpeened at a local General Motors' plant. The method consists of pelting the steel with fine round shot propelled by air pressure. The steel shot used was a #28 shot, .018 to .02h inches in diameter. This shotpeening is said to cause plastic flow of the metal and to set up a high residual compressive stress in the surface. The cold, forged surface was estimated to be approximately 0.008 inches in depth.

The specimens were tested at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall. They were tested in a manner similar to that used and previously reported for plastic specimens. In performing this test the specimens were eroded on the testing machine until the 50% erosion initiation point occurred along the leading edge. This stage of erosion can be defined as an area where approximately 50% of the eroded surface is covered with fine pits and the remaining 50% of the area is unpitted. The 50% initiation point located by microscopic examination at a low power, either 12x or 30x, and the radius of the arm at this point was determined. From the radius and the speed of rotation, the velocity of the specimen at the 50% initiation point was computed. From the velocity and the rainfall rate, the number of raindrop impacts per sq. in. were estimated. This estimation on the steel specimens is considered to be less accurate than on the previous plastic specimens. The character of erosion is somewhat different from that on plastics. Perhaps if many steel specimens were tested and one became familiar with their characteristics, one could recognize variations, one from another, with greater precision than on these first few specimens. For two of the specimens, #606 and #608, the 50% erosion point was estimated as slightly off the specimen on the high speed end; that is, the time of exposure was a little short for the observation. The first four specimens, #605 through #608, all showed a roughening in which metal was pushed up (above the initial surface) around the erosion pits. This was observable both visually and tactilely. This effect was not present on the carburizing specimen. (#609)

For comparison with the previously tested plastic specimens, the results are plotted in Figure 11, page 132, which shows the line obtained from tests on polymethylmethacrylate. It may be seen that at 500 mph, the group of steel specimens require about 1800 times more impacts per sq. in. to produce the same erosion when compared with polymethylmethacrylate.

The increase in hardness from 13 to 39, in the group of four specimens hardened by quenching, appears to have a beneficial influence in producing resistance to rain erosion. The added hardness obtained by carburizing, however, did not produce a corresponding increase in resistance. These observations are general, since, further tests of steel specimens should be carried out to observe the consistency of the results.

With the polymethylmethacrylate specimens, a wide range of velocities was obtained in the tests. A similar range in velocity appears difficult or impossible with steel specimens. Were the velocity much reduced from that used in these tests, the time for erosion would become very great. The velocity cannot be very much increased over that used due to the weight of the metal specimens and centrifugal forces. It appears more profitable to explore further the influence of properties. Such information may consist of testing a group of steels whose hardness is varied and whose ductility varies. Unfortunately, other properties, such as chemical composition would probably vary within such a group. Work with metallurgists in the selection of such groups is planned.

TABLE NO. 26
8630 Steel Specimens After Erosion

Specimen No.	Estimated Radius at 50% erosion point	Velocity at 50% erosion point	Time of exposure	Total impacts per sq. inch at 50% erosion point
605	24.5	515	20 hrs.	3,140,000
606	26.5	570	20 hrs.	3,480,000
607	24.0	510	30 hrs.	4.670,000
608	26.5	563	30 hrs.	5,150,000
609 carburized	24.3	515	30 hrs.	4,710,000

Specimen #610, shown in Figure No.16 was the shot peened specimen which showed a markedly higher rate of erosion. This was probably due to the roughness of surface. The smaller craters or roughness due to shot peening became larger and deeper after the first hour of testing. After eight hours, the entire leading edge was badly pitted.

IIIB. Influence of Type of Material on Erosion

A survey, to date, of all types of materials has indicated that common glass apparently has satisfactory rain erosion resistance up to speeds of 500 mph. With this in mind, attempts were made to bond special thin foils of glass 8 to 10 mils in thickness to fiberglas reinforced laminates. This glass foil was obtained from Corning Glass Research Laboratory at Corning, New York. The bonding agents used were Araldite adhesives, developed by Ciba Corporation. This preliminary attempt ended in failure due to the extreme brittleness of the glass foil which cracked or shattered with very slight shock to the covered laminate specimen. Another approach that appeared to have greater merit was to disperse small glass beads in polyester resin. These beads are manufactured in a large range of sizes from 5 mils up to 50 mils by Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., under the name of "Superbrite" bead. After dispersion in the polyester resin, the surface of a wet laminate and glass beads cured as an integral unit. This system was not successful since the bead layer was two to three layers in thickness and the beads had poor adhesion to the laminate. It was decided to standardize

on two sizes of glass beads, 18-20 mil and 25-30 mil. The beads were dispersed in cold setting Araldite adhesive #101 of the epoxy type and a layer of one glass bead in thickness was coated on glass laminate specimens.

Microscopic examination of specimens #549 A & B showed that specimen A had a uniform single layer of glass beads of 18-20 mil thickness bonded to the glass laminate and specimen B had a single uniform layer of glass beads of 25-30 mil thickness bonded to the specimen. After testing at 500 mph and 1"/hour rainfall for 1 minute, the Araldite film on specimen #549 A, with the smaller beads, had peeled off in large areas indicating loss of adhesion. Specimen #549 B with the beads of 25-30 mil in diameter did not show any signs of failure for 2 minutes. The failure was due to the beads chipping loose from the Araldite and allowing small erosion pits to take place in the glass laminate. This preliminary test indicates that this system may have merit if optimum size glass beads are used and a satisfactory bonding agent can be obtained for bonding the glass beads to aluminum or glass reinforced laminates. The evaluation of other adhesives with the 25-30 mil glass beads is planned.

IIIC. High Speed Erosion Tests

Since the present trend in missile and aircraft design is toward higher supersonic flight speeds, the phenomena of erosion at supersonic velocities are of considerable interest. An analytical approach to the problem led to the belief that at higher Mach numbers the shock waves might tend to prevent rain erosion by splitting up or pushing aside the water droplets. However, it was postulated that the type of airfoil would influence the results so radically that no accurate prediction could be made. It was suggested that ogives might be fired vertically through rain at supersonic speeds as a preliminary approach to the problem. Since Army Ordnance has a standard system to retrieve ogives, this project was considered practical.

A program was worked out in conjunction with the Army Ordnance and Picatinny Arsenal. The tests will be conducted at an Army Ordnance Proving Ground, using an M303 H.E. Shell. Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory designed the metal insert and plastic ogive nose for this 57 mm shell. The metal insert of 24ST aluminum, and configuration of the plastic ogive to be used in the preliminary tests are shown in Figure 17, page 135. Fifty 24ST aluminum inserts were machined, as well as a mold for the glass reinforced plastic ogive. Figures 18 and 19 show the mold, metal insert, and the completed plastic ogive shape. Twenty-five inserts were submitted to the Plastics Section at Picatinny Arsenal for preparation of the specimens they would like tested. Methods of molding pigmented, glass reinforced, alkyd resins were studied and the following technique was evolved.

A transfer type mold used with Plaskon's alkyd molding compound #442, which is a polyester containing pigment and reinforcing glass fibers. Small preforms cylindrical in shape and weighing 75 grams were formed by prewarming at 125°F and pressing in the cylinder of the transfer mold. The metal insert was then screwed into the transfer section of the mold. The preform and transfer portion of the mold was then heated to 275°F. The bottom portion of the mold was then put together with the transfer part of the mold into a small press held at 325°F and the press closed so as to give approximately 100 psi on the ram of the transfer cylinder. The molded ogive was then allowed to cure for five minutes after the mold had reached 310°F.

Two plastic ogives were delivered to the Ordnance Department at the Pentagon, for preliminary firing tests at Aberdeen. These two plastic ogives were fired without rainfall to determine whether they were structurally staisfactory. The tests carried out indicated that the ogives were satisfactory.

Based on these satisfactory results the following twenty materials will be evaluated. The first 12 sets will be molded at Picatinny since they are of interest to that facility and the rest by Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory.

```
    Lucite or Plexiglas
    Cellulose Acetate

 3. Cellulose Acetate Buturate
 4. Ethyl Cellulose
                                          1/8" x 6" x 6" Sheet-
 5. Kralastic 2186-3
                                          Minimum requirement for
 6. Modified Polystyrene
                                          2 specimens.
 Polystyrene
 8. Class 6 - M.I.L. - P-10420 - Hi-Imp. Strength )
                 Cord Filled Phenolic
 9. Class 3 - M.I.I. - P-10420 - General Purpose ) 2-3# of each material
                 Cotton Flock Filled Phenolic
                                                         and curing cycle
10. Durez
               - 13348 - Modified Phenolic - Modified)
                 Polyacrylonitrile Rubber
11. Neoprene Rubber - 50 Durometer - M.I.L. - R-3065 ) Uncured stock &
12. Neoprene Rubber - 90 Durometer - M.I.L. - R-3065 ) curing cycle
13. Pigmented Resin, reinforced with glass fibers
14. Woven glass reinforced laminate - polyester
15. Woven glass reinforced laminate - phenolic
16. Polyester - reinforced glass fiber molding coated with 10 mils
                 Goodyear 23-56 neoprene
17. Same material, coated with 10 mils Gates Engineering N-79 neoprene
18. Same material, coated with 10 mils Goodyear anti-static or heat
                 resistant coating
19. Same material, coated with 10 mils of vinyl coating
20. Same material, coated with 3-4 mils of a hard, phenolic coating such
```

The specifications on these materials are as follows:

1. Lucite
H.M. 140 Type I - Class A - M.I.L. P-10421

as Inter-Chemical Company's 4A Drum liner.

- 2. Plexiglas VM-5688 Type I - Class A - M.I.L. P-10421
- 3. M.I.L. P-10407
 Type II Class 6 Tenite II H-2

```
4. A.X.S. - 1762
Type I - Grade 5
ECX 441 - Hercules Powder Company
```

- 5. M.I.L. P-10408
 Type II Grade 7
 XM-H3 "Immarith" Cellanese Corp. of America
 Hercocel 12681
 Tenite I HZ
- 6. PA-PD-72 Polystyrene Modified
- 7. Polystyrene PA-PD-108
 Type I General Purpose
- 8. Fiberite #1111
 Fiberite Corporation
 Winona, Minnesota
 Att'n: Mr. John E. David

BM 16468
Bakelite Division
Union Carbide & Carbon Corp.
New York 17, New York
Att'n: Mr. Honish

- 9. Durez #1554 G.E. 12401
- 13. Plaskon #442
- 14. 181-114 with Selectron 5003
- 15. 181-114 with CTL-91 resin
- 16. (17. (18. (Plaskon #442 19. (20. (

For the initial study, it was decided that the 1/4" radius for the ogive would be the best airfoil since it would correspond to the 1/4" radius on the current rain erosion test specimen. Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory will study those materials that have not been studied on the current test apparatus at subsonic speeds of 500 to 600 mph.

Insofar as possible, the following data will be reported.

- 1. Materials tested
 - a) Type, grade
 - b) Manufacturer
 - c) Method of molding
- 2. Velocity at which tested
- 3. Amount of rain during test
- 4. Time of flight or exposure to rainfall
 a) Maximum height attained
- 5. Quantitative method of evaluation of rain erosion insofar as such measurements are practicable.

Methods of molding CTL-91LD-glass-reinforced and Selectron 5016-glass reinforced ogives were evolved. The sixteen specimens to be prepared by C.A.L. were fabricated and coated, as outlined below and were forwarded to the Ordnance Department, at the Pentagon.

Specimen No.	<u>Material</u>	Coating			
13 A & B	Plaskon #442	None			
11; A & B	116-114 glass- Selectron 5016, polyester	None			
15 A & B	181-114 glass- CTL-91LD, phenolic	None			
16 A & B	Plaskon #442	Bostik 1007 primer Goodyear 23-56			
17 A & B	Plaskon #442	Bostik 1007 primer Gates N-79			
18 A & B	Plaskon #ЦЦ2	Bostik 1007 primer Goodyear 23-56 Goodyear R-14L-23-296 Anti-static coating			
19 A & B	Plaskon #442	Polyprene Enamel TP-54-35 Inter Chemical Corp.			
20 A & B	Plaskon #442	Inter Chemical Corp. 4 A Drum liner			

It is planned that the specimens with the "A" designation be tested at a Mach number of 1.5, and those with the "B" designation at a Mach number of 2.75. Insofar as possible, each set will be fired through rainfall of the same concentration.

Picatinny has designed the molds for the twelve sets of ogives they are to fabricate.

SECTION IV

Test Apparatus

IVA. Maintenance of Equipment

After the whirl test blade had been in use for eighteen months with little maintenance other than polishing with steel wool and the installation of new stainless steel clips, it was decided that the test blade should be overhauled. The high speed end of the blade with the aluminum trailing edge had deposits of calcium salts that were almost 1/16^m in thickness and very hard and brittle, similar to boiler scale. The appearance of the blade is shown in Figure No. 20. Upon removal of this scale it was found that the aluminum in the area where it made a butt joint with the steel portion of the blade had eroded badly. In addition, the stainless steel clips that held the specimen as well as the tip of the blade were eroded to such a degree that they were collapsing. The chrome plating on the underside of the blade was pitted and pin point areas of rust were observed. The blade was sent into the shop for removal of the aluminum trailing edge so that the copper and chrome plating could be stripped and the blade replated.

After the eroded areas of the leading edge and tip of the 4130 blade had been carefully polished to proper contour, so as to remove most of the pits due to erosion, the blade was replated. New stainless steel clips were made and assembled on the blade. The aluminum trailing edge was welded with aluminum to fill in the roughened surfaces as much as possible and riveted to the steel blade. It seemed desirable that a new aluminum trailing edge be made to replace the old one but due to the press of higher priority work, it was estimated that it would take six to eight weeks to have one fabricated. Since the test apparatus had been out of operation for nearly six weeks it was decided that additional periods of non-operation were out of the question.

A new solenoid valve that controlled the rainfall was installed since the old valve exhibited a tendency to stick open. The nozzle for producing rain was also cleaned and checked. After some trouble in producing uniform rain drop size, it was found that a piece of scale had lodged behind the nozzle during the cleaning operation. This was removed and the test apparatus was put back into operation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY


- 1. E. E. Callaghan. An Investigation of Rain Erosion Resistant Coatings for Use on Radomes. NACA Aircraft Engine Laboratory, Cleveland, Ohio, Memorandum Report No. E5125. National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics 25 September 1945.
- 2. T. R. Pierpoint. Electric Motor Water Spray Tests of Various Helicopter Rotor Blade Abrasion Resistant Leading Edge Specimens. United States Air Force Technical Report No. 5495. United States Air Force, Air Material Command, 23 May 1946.
- 3. R. M. Robertson, R. J. Lobisser, and R. E. Stein. High Speed Rain Abrasion of Glass Cloth Laminates. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. Volume 38, June 1946. Page 590.
- 4. J. H. Gibbud. Water Abrasion Resistance of Glass Cloth Reinforced Plastics.
 Owens-Corning Fiberglas Memorandum for Record. Owens-Corning Fiberglas
 Corporation, 26 May 1947.
- 5. N. E. Wahl and P. K. Porter. Rain Erosion Properties of Plastic Materials. United States Air Force Technical Report 5686, United States Air Force Air Material Command, May 1948.
- 6. J. K. Burkley, E. Duplaga, H. A. Pace, and B. D. Raffel, Final Engineering Report on Radome Materials Research and Fabrication Development Service.


 Goodyear Aircraft Corporation Project No. GA272, United States Air Force, Air Material Command Contract No. W33-O38-ac-15228, 31 May 1948.
- 7. L. P. Spalding. Progress Report on Rain Erosion Testing of Plastic Laminates and of Finishes for Plastic Laminates. North American Aviation Report No. 48-1260. North American Aviation, Inc. 24 November 1948.
- 8. C. F. Rice. Water Spray Test of Four Fiber Glass Specimens. United States Air Force Technical Report No. 5816, April 1949.
- 9. I. Shapiro. Improved Exterior Finish For High Speed Aircraft. Navy Department, Bureau of Aeronautics, Report No. AML NAM AE 424340, Part VIII, Naval Air Experimental Station, Philadelphia. 2 February, 1950.
- N. E. Wahl. Rain Erosion Properties of Plastic Materials. Air Force Technical Report 5686 Supplement 1, dated February, 1950.
- 11. J. K. Long and W. G. Ramke. Rain Erosion of Aircraft Plastics. Air Force Technical Report 5948, dated July, 1950.
- 12. Rain Erosion Resistance of Various Materials and Coatings as Determined on Modified Jet Impingement Tester. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Report No. 7389. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation.


- 13. N. E. Wahl and J. Beal. A Study of The Rain Erosion of Plastics and Other Materials. Air Force Technical Report 6190, dated April, 1951.
- 14. J. L. Beal, R. R. Lapp, N. E. Wahl. A Study of The Rain Erosion of Plastics and Metals. WADC Technical Report 52-20, dated September, 1952.
- 15. Military Specification MIL-C-2439A. Coating, Rain Erosion Resistant-With Anti-Static Surface Treatment, for Plastic Laminates. 26 December, 1952.
- 16. S. A. E. Quarterly Transactions, VOL. 2, No. 2, pp. 191-194, April 1948.

APPENDIX


Section I		
	A through 0	.04
Section II	Relating Illustrations	.19









D. RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS TEST CONDITIONS: O ANGLE OF ATTACK AVERAGE SPEED: 500 MPH MEDIAN DROPLET SIZE EROSION THRU COATING ONE INCH PER HOUR RAIN FALL NO EROSION COATING ABRADED AT THRU COATING & FIRST HIGH SPEED END PLY OF CLOTH COATING PITTED ALONG FAILURE LEADING EDGE POOR ADHESION 1500 1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 150 EXPOSURE TO RAINFALL 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 15 10 8 6 . . 5 4 3 2 1.5 1 В В SPECIMEN NO. 676 680 671 672 GACO " " PRIMER N-15 GACO TOP COAT N-51 BAKE 20 HRS. AT 200°F BAKE 20 HRS. AT 200° F AIR DRY AIR DRY CURING SCHEDULE 150 HRS. 150 HRS.



F. RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS TEST CONDITIONS: AVERAGE SPEED: 500 M.P.H. O ANGLE OF ATTACK ONE INCH PER HOUR RAIN FALL MEDIAN DROPLET SIZE EROSION THRU COATING NO EROSION COATING ABRADED AT THRU COATING & FIRST HIGH SPEED END PLY OF CLOTH COATING PITTED ALONG FAILURE POOR ADHESION LEADING EDGE 1500 1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 150 EXPOSURE TO RAINFALL 100 TIME IN MINUTES 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 15 10 9676 5 4 3 2 1.5 SPECIMEN NO. 556 652 653 654 655 TH1X0N G 135 BOSTIK THIXON PRIMER GACO N-15 GACO N-15 G 135 4764-27 GOODYEAR GOODYEAR GOODYEAR GACO GACO TOP COAT N-79 23-56 23.56 N-79 23-56 OUTDOOR 3 MO. 3 MO. 1 YR. 3 MO. 3 MO. EXPOSED





H. RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS TEST CONDITIONS: O ANGLE OF ATTACK AVERAGE SPEED: 500 M.P. H MEDIAN DROPLET SIZE 1.9 M M ONE INCH PER HOUR RAIN FALL EROSION THRU COATING AT HIGH SPEED END NO. EROSION THRU COATING & FIRST COATING ABRADED AT HIGH SPEED END PLY OF CLOTH COATING PITTED ALONG FAILURE LEADING EDGE POOR ADHESION 1500 1000 900 800 700 600 - 500 400 300 200 150 EXPOSURE TO RAINFALL 100 90 TIME IN MINUTES 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 15 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 * 3: 33, 1.5 1 SPECIMEN NO. 595 596 597 598 HYSOL HYSOL PLIOBOND PRIMER **PLIOBOND** 6109 6109 GOODYEAR GOODYEAR TOP COAT GACO N-79 GACO N-79 23-56 23-56 COATING 12 MIL 11 MIL 12 MIL 10 MIL THICKNESS (MILS





J. RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS TEST CONDITIONS: O ANGLE OF ATTACK AVERAGE SPEED: 500 MP.H. ONE INCH PER HOUR RAIN FALL MEDIAN DROPLET SIZE 1.9 M M EROSION THRU COATING NO EROSION COATING ABRADED, AT THRU COATING & FIRST HIGH SPEED END PLY OF CLOTH COATING PITTED ALONG FAILURE LEADING EDGE POOR ADHESION 1500 1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 150 EXPOSURE TO RAINFALL 100 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 15 10 9 8 7 δ 3 2 1.5 8 A 8 A 8 A A SPECIMEN NO. 601 602 611 612 THIXON EXP. THIXON EXP. BOSTIK BOSTIK PRIMER G 135 1007 G 135 1007 GOODYEAR GACO N-79 GOODEAR GACO N-79 TOP COAT 23-56 23-56 AIR DRIÉD 200 HRS. AIR DRIED FOR 300 HRS. L.E. IMMERSED IN DEIGING SOLUTION CURING AIR DRIED 200 HRS. SCHEDULE


24 HRS-












RAIN EROSION TEST SPECIMEN



.0025 AIRFOIL . 4" CHORD

ORDINATE	00-1-2 8-1-2 8-1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 1-2
ORDINATES DISTANCE FROM L.E.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
* CHORD	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

OUTER DIMENSIONS OF 1/8" SPECIMEN

Section II Figure 1 Rain Erosion Test Specimen

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.

TESTS ON NEOPRENE COATINGS OUTDOOR EXPOSED

FOR I YR




Figure 2 View of Neoprene Coatings After One Year's Outdoor Exposure




Figure 3 Gaco N-79 Specimens After Test





Figure 4 Gaco Anti-static Specimens After Evaluation




Rain Erosion Tests on Pro-Seal 581 primer Figure 5


e de la companya de l


500 MPH- 1"/ HR

MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY'S NEOPRENE COATINGS

ON FIBERGLAS SPECIMENS







368 A & B	3M · X34932-C	NIM 6
367 A B.B	3M-X23II08	5 MIN.
366 A & B	3M-X 33030	NIW9.

TIME OF EXPOSURE

TOPCOAT # 034S

View of 3M Coatings on Fiberglas After Test Figure 6

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.

MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY'S NEOPRENE COATINGS

ON 24 ST ALCLAD SPECIMENS







370 A 88

369 4 88 3M-X33030 N.M.

3M-X231108

3M-X34932-C

371 A 88

View of 3M Coatings on 24ST Alclad After Test

Figure 7

TIME OF EXPOSURE TOPCOAT

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.

MINNESOTA MINING & MANUFACTURING COMPANY'S NEOPRENE COATINGS

ON FSIM MAGNESIUM SPECIMENS




Figure 8 View of 3M Coatings on FSIH Magnesium After Test

500 MPH-1"/ HR.

DOUGLAS SYSTEM BP 101 PRIMER BP 102 TO





Figure 9 View of Douglas Coatings After Test

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.



PIASECK! MELICOPTER CORPORATION SPECIMENS

•	624	1	25 MIN.	i de	
		<u>.</u> .			
	10 10 10	2-2	~		
	623 A&B	D-1 & D-2.	ž		
	•	4	10 MIN. 12 MIN.		
		, Ž			
	م حال	C-2	-		•
	¥ 77	C-1 & C-2	S KIK	•	
	•	្ន			
					V . 3
			문설 설립		
	. es	8-1 4 8-2	=		-
	621 A&B	•	- 80 MIN.		i.
٠.	•	4		N.F	- 1
					3.
	620 A&B	A-1 & A-2	#		
	20 A	_	30 H	. :	٠.
	, 	≪.			
			· .		:
	¥				
	. E.C	Ę.	, ¥	URE	
	C.A.L. SPECIMEN	PIASECKI	TOTAL TIME	OF Exposure	
	C.A.	α.`	2	_	


. 30 HIN.

£ E-2

2007

Figure 10 View of 4130 Steel Specimens With Various Finishes, After Test

RESTRICTED MX-1925 A



	000 Med			
PLASECKI CODE NVIBER	F-1 & F-2	G-1 & G-2	H-1 & H-2	I-1 & I-2
	31 MIN. 28 MIN.	600 httm.	2 MIN.	2 MIN.

Figure 11 View of 4130 Steel Specimens With Various Finishes, After Test

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.

PLASEGK! HELLCOPTER CORP. SPECINGES

COUCHEAR A CHANDARF CORP. TEFLES AND RETURNED

684 A 629B 75 629 A C A.L SPECIMEN NO PIASECKI CODE NO. RESTRICTED MX-1925A

Figure 12 View of Piasecki and Goodyear Specimens, After Testing


Figure 12

20 MIN

20 HIN

TOTAL TIME

500 MPH- 1"/ HR.





STATES OF GRANTER BANK 27-35 CONTRIBE

22 (ZI). 17 (ZIV. 20 MIN.)

Figure 13 Rain Erosion Tests on Goodyear R14L-27-86 Coating


Figure 14 Comparison of Steel and Polymethyl Methacrylate






EROSION OF 8630 STEEL

Figure 15 View of 8630 Steel Specimens
After Erosion Test




EROSION OF 8630 STEEL

Figure 16 View of 8630 Steel Specimens After Erosion Test




CROSS SECTION OF SHELL AND PLASTIC OGIVE

Figure 17 Dimensional View of Aluminum Insert and Plastic Ogive



EXPLODED VIEW OF OGIVE TRANSFER MOLD

Figure 18 View Aluminum Insert and Mold



TRANSFER MOLD AND PLASTIC OGIVE Figure 19 RAIN EROSION OF COATINGS

PLASTIC OGIVE FOR 57MM SHELL

Figure 19 View of Plastic Ogive Molded on Aluminum Insert and Screwed into Upper Mold Body



VIEW OF TEST BLADE SHOWING ACCUMULATION OF SCALE

Figure 20 View of High Speed End of Whirl Test Blade, Showing Erosion of Clips and Scale That Precipitated on Blade During High Speed Runs